# BASE LINE SURVEY IN THE MINORITY CONCENTRATED DISTRICTS OF UTTAR PRADESH

(District: Badaun)

Sponsored by:

Ministry of Minority Affairs Government of India New Delhi

Study conducted by:

Dr. Fahimuddin Senior Fellow

## GIRI INSTITUTE OF DEVELOPMENT STUDIES SECTOR-O, ALIGANJ HOUSING SCHEME LUCKNOW-226 024

## CONTENT

|             | Executive Summary                            | iv-vii |
|-------------|--|--------|
| CHAPTER I   | OUTLINE OF THE STUDY                         | 1-3    |
| CHAPTER II  | DEVELOPMENT STATUS IN<br>BADAUN DISTRICT     | 4-13   |
| CHAPTER III | DEVELOPMENT STATUS AT<br>THE VILLAGE LEVEL   | 14-24  |
| CHAPTER IV  | DEVELOPMENT STATUS AT<br>THE HOUSEHOLD LEVEL | 25-49  |
| CHAPTER V   | CRITICAL DEVELOPMENT GAPS<br>IN THE DISTRICT | 50-55  |
|             | ANNEXURES                                    | 56-57  |
|             | ABBREVIATIONS                                | 58     |

# List of Tables

| <u>Table</u><br>Numb   |   | <u>Page</u><br>Number  |
|--|---|--|
| 1<br>1.1<br>2.2<br>2.3<br>2.4<br>2.5<br>2.6<br>2.7<br>2.8<br>2.9<br>2.10<br>2.11<br>2.12<br>2.13<br>2.14   | Development Deficit in key Socio-economic, Basic amenities and Health indicators: Badaun District<br>Sample Villages of Badaun District<br>Characteristics of Population in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2001<br>Demographic Status by Religion in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2001<br>Main Workers in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2001 Census<br>Growth in Main Workers in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh (1991-2001)<br>Employment through Employment Exchange in Badaun district<br>Land Use Pattern in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2004-05 (Hectare)<br>Sources of Irrigation in Badaun District-2004-05<br>Area under Principal Crops in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2004-05<br>Productivity of Major Crops in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2004-05<br>Livestock in Badaun District-2004-05<br>Industrial Development in Badaun District (2006-07)<br>Economic Infrastructure Indicator s in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh<br>Rural Infrastructure in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh (2005-06)<br>Educational Infrastructure in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2004-05 | v<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>5<br>6<br>6<br>7<br>7<br>8<br>8<br>9<br>9<br>10<br>10<br>11  |
| 2.15<br>2.16<br>2.17<br>2.18<br>2.19<br>3.1<br>3.2<br>3.3<br>3.4<br>3.5<br>3.6<br>3.7                      | Health Infrastructure in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2005-06<br>Housing Conditions in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh<br>Sources of Drinking Water in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh<br>Sources of Cooking in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh<br>Income and Poverty in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh<br>Religion-wise population<br>Occupational Pattern<br>Land Use Pattern<br>Sources of Irrigation<br>Road and Electricity in Sample Villages<br>Per Household Availability of Sources of Drinking Water and their Status<br>Toilet Facility   | 11<br>12<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>14<br>15<br>15<br>16<br>16<br>16                   |
| 3.8<br>3.9<br>3.10<br>3.11<br>3.12<br>3.13<br>3.14<br>3.15<br>3.16<br>3.17<br>3.18<br>3.19<br>3.20<br>3.21 | Educational Facility<br>School Enrollment<br>Physical Structure of Schools<br>Private schools and Preferences of the People for Schools<br>Health Facilities<br>Facilities at the Sub-centre or PHC<br>Frequency of Visit of Health Staff in Villages<br>Conditions of PHC/sub-center in the village (put number of villages)<br>Details of Aanganwadi/ICDS Centers in Sample Villages<br>Private Medical Facilities (put number of villages)<br>Public Distribution System in the Villages<br>Other Facilities<br>Total Beneficiaries in the Government Programmes<br>Village Organization   | 17<br>18<br>19<br>19<br>20<br>20<br>21<br>21<br>22<br>22<br>23<br>23<br>23<br>24 |

| 4.1   | Composition of Different Religious Groups in the Sample                             | 25            |
|-------|---|---------------|
| 4.2   | Family Size in Different Religious Groups   | 25            |
| 4.3   | Population and Sex Ratio among Different Religious Groups                           | 26            |
| 4.4   | Classification of Population by Age among Different Religious Groups                | 26            |
| 4.5   | Classification of Population by Level of Education among Different Religious Groups | 27            |
| 4.6   | Work Status of Hindu and Muslim Population  | 27            |
| 4.7   | Main Activities of Population   | 28            |
| 4.8   | Religion-wise Households Seeking Additional Employment and their most Preferred     | Activities 28 |
| 4.9   | Distribution of Households of Different Religious Groups according to Land Size     | 29            |
| 4.10  | Classification of Households according to the Value of their Land                   | 30            |
| 4.11  | Per Household Ownership of Livestock by Different Religious Groups                  | 30            |
| 4.12  | Distribution of Households by the Value of Lives tock (Rs.)                         | 31            |
| 4.13  | Distribution of household by Value of Productive and other Assets                   | 31            |
| 4.14  | Per Household Value of Productive and Other Assets                                  | 32            |
| 4.15  | Distribution of Households by the Type of Ownership of Houses                       | 32            |
| 4.16  | Distribution of Households by the Type of Houses                                    | 32            |
| 4.17  | Distribution of Households by the Number of Rooms                                   | 33            |
| 4.18  | Distribution of Households according to Sources of Homestead                        | 33            |
| 4.19  | Distribution of Households by the Electrification and Sources of Lighting           | 34            |
| 4.20  | Classification of Households According to Sources of Drinking Water                 | 34            |
| 4.21  | Classification of Households According to Distance from Sources of Drinking Water   | 35            |
| 4.22  | Classification of Households According to Toilet Facility and its type              | 35            |
| 4.23  | Classification of Households According to Type of Cooking Fuel Used                 | 35            |
| 4.24  | Drainage Facility   | 36            |
| 4.25  | Average Annual Income per Household by Sources of Income                            | 36            |
| 4.26  | Distribution of Households by the Size of Family Income (yearly)                    | 37            |
| 4.27  | Distribution of Households by the Size of Expenditure                               | 37            |
| 4.28  | Average Expenditure per Households on Different Items                               | 38            |
| 4.29  | Migration Characteristics of Working Family Members                                 | 38            |
| 4.30  | Distribution of Households by Outstanding Amount of loan                            | 39            |
| 4.31  | Distribution of Households by Indebtedness Characteristics                          | 40            |
| 4.32  | Educational Status of Children in the Age of 5 to 15 years                          | 41            |
| 4.33  | Aspirations of parents regarding their Children's Education                         | 42            |
| 4.34  | Interest in Training of Working Family Members                                      | 43            |
| 4.35  | Type of Diseases  | 43            |
| 4.36  | Place of Treatment of Diseases  | 44            |
| 4.37  | Immunization of Children  | 44            |
| 4.38  | Details of Public Distribution System   | 45            |
| 4.39  | Access to Media and Communication   | 46            |
| 4.40  | Awareness about Government Programmes   | 46            |
| 4.41  | Views of Respondents regarding Facilities which need Special Attention              | 48            |
| 4.42  | Views of the respondents regarding the Important Aspects lagging in their lives     | 49            |
|       | xure-I: Value of Productive and Other Assets per Household.                         | 56            |
| Annex | xure II: Sample Villages of Badaun District   | 57            |

## **Baseline Survey of Minority Concentrated Districts**

## **Executive Summary: Badaun District**

The purpose of the Base-line Survey was to identify the development deficit in Badaun district and identification of priority areas for the preparation of Multi-sectoral Development Plan of the district. For this purpose, basically 8 indicators were identified by the expert committee constituted by the Ministry of Minority Affairs, New Delhi. The idea was to identify those districts which have a minority concentration and are also relatively backward. The indicators selected for identification of these districts were as follows:

Five Top Development Prioritie s of Badaun District

- Electrification
- Housing
- Female Literacy
- General Literacy
- Female Employment Generation

#### (a) Religion-Specific Socio -Economic Indicators

- i. Literacy Rate
- ii. Female Literacy Rate
- iii. Work Participation Rate
- iv. Female Work Participation Rate

#### (b) Indicators of Basic Amenities

- i. Percentage of Households with pucca houses
- ii. Percentage of Households with safe drinking water
- iii. Percentage of Households with electricity
- iv. Percentage of Households with W/C latrines.

Besides the above, 2 additional indicators for assessing health status have been used. These are:

- i. Percentage of fully-vaccinated children
- ii. Percentage of institutional deliveries

The purpose of the Baseline Survey is to identify the infrastructural gaps which could be filled up through the Multi-Sector District Development Plan (MsDP) for the Minority Concentrated Districts (MCDs) which are also characterized by relative backwardness. By the Multi-Sectoral District Development Plan, the relative backwardness of such districts could be reduced at least to the National level. For this purpose, we have taken most recent National level official estimates for finding the development deficits in terms of 10 selected indicators. Taking deficit as weight, the indicators representing specific sectors are ranked on a 10 point score scale in which rank 1 indicates highest deficit. Thus, an indicator is given highest rank if the value of deficit between the survey result and the estimate for the India is highest. The other indicators are ranked in descending order according to their value of deficit. In case, the deficit comes positive (survey result higher than the estimate of the India), the lower rank is assigned to that indicator which has lower positive value and higher rank is given if the positive value is higher. Accordingly, the main eight indicators have been ranked to find out that how the priority should be accorded to different eight indicators in planning the Multi-Sector District Development Plan of Badaun district. The deficits on eight indicators as identified by the Ministry of Minority Affairs (MMA) have been calculated by using the latest All India data from the NSSO-2005. Health related data are from the latest NHFC-3 Survey. The development deficit, thus, worked in case of Badaun district has been presented in the following table:

|    | Indicators   | Survey       | All India | Gap between Surve      | Rank |
|----|--|--------------|-----------|------------------------|------|
| S. |  | Results 2008 | (2005)    | result of the district |      |
| Ν  |  |              |           | and all India          |      |
| 1. | Rate of literacy                                       | 40.06        | 67.30     | -27.24                 | 4    |
| 2. | Rate of female literacy                                | 29           | 57.10     | -28.1                  | 3    |
| 3. | Work participation rate                                | 26.53        | 38.00     | -11.47                 | 6    |
| 4. | Female work participation rate                         | 3.71         | 21.50     | -17.79                 | 5    |
| 5. | Percentage of households with pucca walls              | 25.67        | 59.40     | -33.73                 | 2    |
| 6. | Percentage of households with safe drinking water      | 100.00       | 87.90     | +12.1                  | 8    |
| 7. | Percentage of households with electricity              | 12.22        | 67.90     | -55.68                 | 1    |
| 8. | Percentage of households with water close set latrines | 69.00        | 39.20     | +29.8                  | 7    |
| 9. | Percentage of fully vaccinated children                | 4.15         | 43.50     |                        |      |
| 10 | Percentage of institutional delivery                   | 3.22         | 38.70     |                        |      |

Table 1: Development Deficit in key Socio-economic, Basic amenities and Health indicators: Badaun District

Though, Badaun district is part of the Western region of Uttar Pradesh, which is considered to be the most developed part of the Uttar Pradesh, yet striking development gaps have emerged in the district when compared with National. The followings are gaps which require proper planning in the Multi-sector Development Plan of the district in order to alleviate the district at the national and state level:

#### **Electricity:**

The present status of electrification in the households of Badaun district is miserably poor. The baseline survey has shown that only 12 per cent of total rural households are electrified which is quite low in comparison with the National average of 68 per cent. Thus, 56 per cent of total rural households are less electrified in Badaun district as compared with the nation level. On the basis, electrification of rural houses may be accorded highest priority in the Multi-Sectoral Development Plan of the district.

## Housing:

Improvement of housing is the next priority area, which requires intervention in Badaun District. The percentage of households with Pucca walls in total households was 26 percent, which emerged from our household survey in comparison with 59 percent at the all India level, showing a gap of 33 percentage points in the district. Hence, the provision of additional houses on the lines of Indira Awas Yojana (IAY) may be planned in the district as a next priority in the Multi-Sectoral development plan of the district.

## **Female Literacy:**

The rate of literacy among the females of the district has been found to be 29 per cent thorough the baseline survey while the same was 57 per cent at the all India Level. Thus, 28 per cent females of rural part of the Badaun district were more illiterate as compared with the national average. Therefore, in the Multi-sectoral Development Plan of the district, efforts to increase the female literacy should be given fourth priority.

## **General Literacy:**

✤ The fact has emerged from the Base-line survey in Badaun district that general literacy rate is lower in the district as compared with the national level literacy rate. It is only 40 per cent in the district as against 67.30 at the national level. Hence, Badaun district is backward in literacy by 27 percentage points than the all India level. Therefore, proper planning is to be made in the Multi-sectoral development Plan of the District to increase the literacy level among the population in general of the Muslims in particular in Badaun district.

## **Female Work Participation Rate:**

✤ The Base-line data has revealed that the female work participation rate is only 4.06 per cent in district versus 21.50 per cent at the all India level. Thus, it is 17.44 per cent lower in the district as compared with the national average. In our rank analysis, this indicator has got the fifth rank, as far the deficit is concerned. Therefore, availability of job opportunities for the females are to be increased in the district and such programmes which employ largely the women may be accorded due priority in the Multi-Sectoral Development Plan of the district.

## **Work Participation Rate:**

✤ The people in the district has serious problem of employment. The baseline survey has shown that the work participation rate in the district is 26.53 per cent in comparison with 38 per cent at the national level. Therefore, multi-sectoral development plan of the district must lay greater emphasis on the promotion of employment generating activities in the district.

## <u>Suggested Components of Multi-Sector District Development Plan:</u> <u>Badaun District</u>

On the basis of above identified development deficits in Badaun district, it is suggested that the Multi-sector District Development Plan of the district should have the following major components:

- The extension of electrification at the household level in rural areas of the district should be given the top most priority. In this context, alternative sources of energy may also be considered.
- The condition of housing is generally poor in rural areas of Badaun district. The baseline survey has revealed that Muslims in Badaun district have not been adequately benefited under the Indira Awas Yojana (IAY). In the Multi-sectoral Development Plan, some Muslim families who are below the poverty line may be identified across different tehsils of the district and may be provided housing units on the lines of Indira Awas Yojana.
- The enhancement of literacy level among the rural population in general and among minorities in particular needs to be accorded due priority in the Multi-sector Development Plan of the district. It has been found through the baseline survey that a very high proportion of Muslims is still in the district. The literacy among the females is very low. It is also evident that large number of Muslim children falling in the age group of 5-15 years were never enrolled in schools. The aspiration of Muslim parents for the education of their children is also relatively lower. To mitigate this problem, due convergence with the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan needs to be established in the district. There is also need to carry out a campaign to arouse the feelings of importance of education among the rural masses of the district. The NGOs may also be involved to launch an awareness campaign for this purpose.
- It has been found that employment generation is the critical problem in the district. In regard to this, ongoing programme to improve the productivity and diversification in agriculture need to be revitalized. Various non-farm activities are also being carried out in the rural areas of the district. There is a need to identify such activities and plan for their development in the Multi-sectoral Plan of the district. The employment of women should also be given due consideration.
- Provision of health care facilities is also the important priority identified through the baseline survey in the district. It has been found that the health care infrastructure in poor in the district. At the Tehsil level accessibility of these health care facilities should be reassessed and planning for the addition of such facilities may be made in the Multi-sectoral Plan of the district. Besides, immunization of children in general and of Minorities in particular, may be planned as a campaign. The involvement of NGOs may also be thought of. The training should also be given to untrained dias in rural areas of the district in order to ensure greater number of safe deliveries.

#### **CHAPTER I**

## **OUTLINE OF THE STUDY**

#### 1. **About the Study:**

According to the Census of India 2001, minorities constitute 19.5 per cent of the total population of the country. Out of the total as many as 1381.9 lakhs or 13.4 per cent alone were Muslims. The only other religious groups of some significance are Christians (2.3 per cent) and Sikh (1.9 per cent). The others all have a share of below one per cent in the total population. The dominance of Muslims becomes more prominent if we work out their share in the total minority population, which comes to almost 79 per cent. In case of Uttar Pradesh the overall share of the minority community is almost the same as obtained at the National level. But the share of Muslims is much higher in the total population (18.5 per cent). All other religious communities have a negligible share in the total population of the State. In fact Muslims in the State account for around 95 per cent of all the minorities taken together.

With the Minorities forming almost one-fifth of the total population it is but obvious that they need to be adequately taken care of. The Ministry of Minority Affairs, New Delhi has the responsibility to chalk out policies and programmes so that those who are disadvantaged among this group are provided an opportunity for their upliftment. One of the latest efforts in this direction has been in the form of constituting an expert Committee by the Ministry to identify those districts, which have a minority concentration and are also relatively backward. The indicators selected for identification of these districts were as follows:

#### (a) **Religion-Specific Socio-Economic Indicators**

- (i) Literacy Rate
- (ii) Female Literacy Rate
- (iii) Work Participation Rate, and
- (iv) Female Work Participation Rate

#### (b) Indicators of Basic Amenities

- (i) Percentage of Households with pucca houses
- (ii) Percentage of Households with safe drinking water
- (iii) Percentage of Households with electricity, and
- (iv) Percentage of Households with W/C latrines.

As many as 53 districts were found to have values below the National level average for both sets of indicators while another 37 had values below the National average for one or the other set of indicators. Thus a total of 90 districts from all over the country were identified as being backward

and having minority concentration. Of these as many as 21 (almost one-fourth) are in U.P. The Ministry aims at devising suitable policy measures during the Eleventh Plan in order to develop these districts. The Ministry then identified several institutions in different parts of the country to carry out a baseline survey of these districts. The task of undertaking this study in the 21 districts of Uttar Pradesh was entrusted to the Giri Institute of Development Studies, Lucknow.

#### 2. Objectives of the Study:

The main objectives of the study are as follows:

- To conduct a gap analysis of availability of infrastructure such as schools, health, ICDS centers and drinking water supply;
- (ii) To find out the gaps in housing and sanitary facilities;
- (iii) To identify the income generating activities such as artisan/handicrafts in which villagers have a comparative advantage;
- (iv) To identify the existing gaps in other infrastructural facilities such as roads, ITIs, banking and marketing facilities which will provide the missing links and act as a catalyst in the process of development of the district.

## 3. Methodology and Sample Design:

It was decided that 30 villages would be selected from each district. For village selection the Tehsils of the district were first grouped into three strata in terms of minority population after arranging them in descending order of minority population. The first stratum consisted of 20 per cent of the Tehsils and the second and third stratum constituted 50 and 30 per cent Tehsils respectively. Tehsil was used for stratification because religion-wise data is available in the 2001 census only upto the Tehsil level. The number of villages selected from each stratum was directly proportional to the share of each stratum and were selected as per the probability proportion to size with replacement method.

Once the villages were identified, house listing was conducted by door-to-door visit in villages where total population was upto 1200. But in case of villages with higher population the different hamlets were considered and two hamlets were selected. The first hamlet was the one where concentration of minority population was highest and the other hamlet was selected randomly.

From each village 30 households were selected by listing the households according to their religion. Sample selected were in proportion to the population in each religious category according to the systematic random sampling without replacement method.

In this way our total sample worked out to be as follows: Total number of villages 30 Total number of households 900

#### 4. Tools:

The study has been taken up at three levels. First is to study the status at the district level. For this secondary, information was collected form Census records, Office of the District Statistics Officer, Office of the CDO, Office of the Minority Welfare Officer, NABARD, etc. The second and third level is the village and the household level. Even the village information has been obtained form secondary sources like the Tehsil and Block Development Office. For the household level, of course, we have used primary information obtained by conducting a field survey of all the 30 villages selected for the study.

Three Schedules were developed for collecting District, Village and Household level information. The survey was initiated on 3 December 2007 and completed by 15 January 2008. The names of villages surveyed by us in Badaun district are:

| Tehshil     | Block         | Village              |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1. Badaun   | 1. Jagat      | 1. Sobhanpur         |
|             | 2. Kadarchauk | 1. Asharari          |
|             | 3. Ujhani     | 1. Jyora parvala     |
|             |               | 2. Manakpur          |
|             |               | 3. Alla Purbhogi     |
| 2. Bilsi    | 1. Islamnagar | 1. Maikhurd          |
|             | 2. Bisauli    | 1. Palia             |
|             | 3. Ambiapur   | 1. Sirtaul           |
|             |               | 2. Raipur Majara     |
| 3. Sahaswan | 1. Dahgawan   | 1. Bajpur            |
|             |               | 2. Bisaulia          |
|             |               | 3. Antar             |
|             |               | 4. Nadha             |
|             |               | 5. Sakatpur          |
| 4. Dataganj | 1. Samrer     | 1. Semariya          |
|             |               | 2. Sehra Pukhta      |
|             | 2. Dataganj   | 1. Ismaipur          |
|             | 3. Miyaun     | 1. Roopamai          |
|             | 4. Osawan     | 1. Gariya Hardopatti |
|             |               | 2. Mirzapur Atiraj   |
| 5. Bisauli  | 1. Bisauli    | 1. Piwari            |
|             | 2. Asafpur    | 1. Dabtora           |
|             | 3. Islamnagar | 1. Sakhamai          |
|             | 4. Wazirganj  | 1. Serandajpur       |
|             |               | 2. Udaipur           |
| 6. Gunnaur  | 1. Gunnaur    | 1. Sainjor           |
|             | 2. Junamai    | 1. Baghoi            |
|             |               | 2. Patai kyasalh     |
|             | 3. Rajpura    | 1. Sailma            |
|             |               | 2. BahatKaran        |

Source: Census of India, 2001.

## **CHAPTER II**

## DEVELOPMENT STATUS IN BADAUN DISTRICT

#### **1.** Introduction:

Badaun district, with an area of 5168 Sq. Kms., forms part of the Western Region of Uttar Pradesh, which is the most, developed part of the state. The district is divided into 6 tehsils, 18 blocks and 1782 inhabited villages. The district accounted for 1.85 per cent of the state's population during 2001 census. The share of monitories population in total population of the district was higher (21.9per cent) as compared to the percentage of minority population in the state (19.40 per cent). Though the district is a part of the developed region of Uttar Pradesh, yet, there may be certain gaps in the development of different sectors of the district, which may require plans of development in order to improve the socio-economic conditions of the people in the district. In this chapter, existing status of socio-economic development of Badaun district has been compared with the state scenario in order to find the gaps, if any, in the development of the district.

#### **1. Demographic Status:**

Badaun district is facing high and increasing population pressure. The population in Badaun district increased from 24.48 lakh in 1991 to 30.69 lakh in 2001. The decadal growth in population during 1991-2001 was 25.4 per cent in the district as against 25.88 per cent at the state level during the same period. The relative population pressure is higher in the district as compared to the state as evident form population density. Though level of urbanization is quite high in the district but level of literacy and work participation rate have been lower in the district as compared to the state (Table 2.1). Thus, the population explosion with high density and lower literacy coupled with lower work participation rate may plunge the Badaun district deeper into poverty and unemployment, if not checked through comprehensive planning.

| Items                              | Badaun District | Uttar Pradesh |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Size of Households                 | 6.51            | 6.50          |
| Population Growth (1991-2001) in % | 25.4            | 25.88         |
| Density of Population (per Km.)    | 594             | 690           |
| Sex Ratio                          | 842             | 898           |
| Percentage of SC/ST population     | 1.4             | 21.15         |
| Percentage of Urban Population     | 18.16           | 20.78         |
| Literacy Rate:                     |                 |               |
| Male                               | 38.2            | 68.80         |
| Female                             | 49.0            | 42.20         |
| Total                              | 25.1            | 56.20         |
| Worker Population Ratio (%)        | 30.00           | 32.51         |

Table 2.1: Characteristics of Population in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2001

Source: Census of India, 2001.

### 2. Demographic Status by Religion:

The classification of population comprising of followers of different religions in Badaun district shows that the population of Hindus is highest (78.26 Per cent) followed by that of Muslims (21.33 Per cent) as per 2001 Census. Though, on the parameters of literacy and work participation rate, Muslims are most backward among all the religious groups in the district. The position of Hindus is worse off as compared to Jains in literacy achievement and Buddhist and Christians in work participation. Thus, on two important development parameters of literacy and work participation, Muslims are at the bottom in Badaun district (Table 2.2).

| Religion  | Percentage in t | total Population | Literacy Rate   | Work Participation Rate |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
|           | Badaun          | Uttar Pradesh    | Badaun District | Badaun District         |
| Hindu     | 78.26           | 80.61            | 38.9            | 30.9                    |
| Muslim    | 21.33           | 18.49            | 35.3            | 27.0                    |
| Christian | 0.11            | 0.13             | 72.9            | 32.0                    |
| Sikh      | 0.09            | 0.41             | 72.9            | 30.9                    |
| Buddhist  | 0.16            | 0.18             | 57.1            | 41.3                    |
| Jain      | 0.02            | 0.13             | 94.2            | 30.6                    |
| Others    | 0.03            | 0.05             | 76.4            | 51.0                    |

Table 2.2: Demographic Status by Religion in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2001

Source: Census of India, 2001.

#### **3.** Structure and Growth in Employment:

Agriculture is the main source of employment in Uttar Pradesh as a whole and in its constituent districts as well. The data of 2001 Census as placed in table-2.3 showed that the proportion of main workers employed in agricultural sector have been comparatively higher in Badaun district than in the state as a whole. The proportion of main workers engaged in household industries and other activities in the district is lower in state's comparison. This situation points to the fact that there has been lesser diversification of workers from agriculture to other sectors in Badaun district because full time employment could not be created for the growing workforce in the district.

Table 2. 3: Main Workers in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2001 Census

| Category                   | Badaun          | Uttar Pradesh     |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Cultivators                | 500921 (65.14)  | 18479865 (47.03)  |
| Agricultural Labour        | 105449 (13.71)  | 5956547 (15.16)   |
| Total Agricultural Workers | 606370 (78.85)  | 24436412 (62.18)  |
| Household Industry         | 16635 (2.17)    | 2056944 (5.23)    |
| Others                     | 145940 (18.98)  | 12803228 (32.58)  |
| Total                      | 768945 (100.00) | 39296584 (100.00) |

Source: Census of India, 2001.

The above observation gets further support from the data presented in table-2.4, which shows that the growth of main workers as cultivators and agricultural labour in Badaun district during 1991-2001 has been lowers as compared to the state which indicated that the marginalization process of workers has been swiftly working in Badaun district. Therefore, special attention needs to be given to generate full time employment on large scale in Badaun district.

Table 2.4: Growth in Main Workers in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh (1991-2001)

| Category                   | Badaun | Uttar Pradesh |
|----------------------------|--------|---------------|
| Cultivators                | -8.87  | -16.12        |
| Agricultural Labour        | 22.85  | -23.96        |
| Total Agricultural Workers | -4.59  | -18.17        |
| Household Industry         | 221.88 | 106.28        |
| Others                     | 35.72  | 21.95         |
| Total                      | 2.77   | -4.99         |

Source: Census of India, 2001.

#### 4. Unemployment:

Though the data on the unemployment situation in Badaun district is not available, however, we have tried to gauge the problem on the basis of data of employment exchange in the district. The provision of employment through employment exchange has been very dismal in Badaun district. It is evident from table 2.5 that there has been continuous increase in the registration of unemployed persons with the employment exchange during 2003-4 to 2004-05. On an average, 20 thousand persons per year got them registered with the employment exchange but negligible number of persons got the job. The number of vacancies notified during the period also showed a declining trend, indicating thereby that the white-collar jobs, which were already very few, are fast diminishing in Badaun district

Table 2. 5: Employment through Employment Exchange in Badaun district

| Items                            | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Number of registration     | 18903   | 19125   | 23334   |
| Registration during the year     | 6903    | 4718    | 8220    |
| Number of Vacancies notified     | 41      | 69      | 34      |
| Number of Persons got employment | 1       | 6       | 31      |

Source: Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun.

### 5. Land Use Pattern:

On account of high population pressure in Badaun district, around 80 per cent of the reporting area has been brought under cultivation in the district, which is higher as compared to the proportion of net cultivated area at the state level (69 per cent). The percentage of area under forest in the district is quite low (1.33 per cent) in comparison with the forest area at the state

level (6.97 per cent). Despite higher proportion of net area sown in the district, cropping intensity has remained quite low (141 per cent in Badaun district versus 193 per cent in the state) which indicates that traditional structure of agriculture has not changed much in the district despite the fact that level of irrigation in the district has been far better than what could be in the state as a whole (Table 2. 6).

| Items   | Badaun   | Uttar Pradesh |
|---|----------|---------------|
| Reporting Area                                  | 519948   | 24201292      |
|   | (100.00) | (100.00)      |
| Forest  | 6903     | 1685936       |
|   | (1.33)   | (6.97)        |
| Barren and uncultivable Land                    | 10447    | 548213        |
|   | (2.01)   | (2.27)        |
| Land put to non-agricultural uses               | 46441    | 2594289       |
|   | (8.93)   | (10.71)       |
| Cultural waste land                             | 5612     | 467557        |
|   | (1.07)   | (1.93)        |
| Pasture and other land under trees, plants etc. | 6503     | 424728        |
| -   | (1.25)   | (1.75)        |
| Current and other fallow                        | 29047    | 1731035       |
|   | (5.58)   | (7.15)        |
| Net Area Sown                                   | 414995   | 16749534      |
|   | (79.81)  | (69.21)       |

Table 2.6: Land Use Pattern in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2004-05 (Hectare)

Source: Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun

### 6. Irrigation Coverage and Sources:

As observed above that the irrigation coverage has been much higher in Badaun district to the extent that more than 92 per cent of the net area sown in the district has been brought under irrigation. In this way most of the cultivated land is irrigated in the district. Most important source of irrigation is tube wells, mostly y the private, through 71.97 per cent of the net areas sown is irrigated in the district (Table 2.7).

|           | -      |            |
|-----------|--------|------------|
| Source    | Area   | Percentage |
| Canal     | 19     | 0.005      |
| Tube well | 271731 | 71.97      |
| Well      | 43356  | 11.48      |
| Others    | 62472  | 16.55      |
| Total     | 377578 | 100.00     |

Table 2.7: Sources of Irrigation in Badaun District-2004-05

Source: Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun

#### 7. Cropping Pattern:

The agriculture in Badaun district is less diversified as compared to the level of agricultural diversification at the state level. The percentage of area put to the cultivation of food

crops is higher in the district than the state. The opposite is the case of cultivation of oilseeds and other commercial crops. Surprisingly, percentage of total area put for sugarcane cultivation is less than what is at the state level (Table 2.8).

| Сгор              | Badaun District | Uttar Pradesh |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Cereals           | (81.21)         | 73.92         |
| Pulses            | (5.99)          | 11.87         |
| Total Food grains | (87.20)         | 85.79         |
| Oilseeds          | (3.19)          | 3.80          |
| Sugarcane         | (2.78)          | 8.44          |
| Potato            | (2.81)          | 1.83          |
| Others            | (4.01)          | 0.14          |

Table 2.8: Area under Principal Crops in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2004-05

Source: Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun

### 8. **Productivity of Major Crops:**

The productivity of two major crops viz. rice and wheat is lower in the district than what is at the state level. However, in case of pulses, oilseeds and other main cash crops like sugarcane, yield levels are higher in the district in comparison with the state. Therefore, concerted efforts are required to increase the productivity of food crops in the district, which will provide increased income to the farmers (Table 2.9).

Table 2.9: Productivity of Major Crops in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh-2004-05

|           | ,               | (quintal/hectare) |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Сгор      | Badaun District | Uttar Pradesh     |
| Rice      | 15.73           | 18.13             |
| Wheat     | 24.97           | 25.00             |
| Barley    | 21.14           | 19.75             |
| Maize     | 15.22           | 15.53             |
| Urd       | 6.04            | 3.88              |
| Moong     | 3.01            | 4.56              |
| Arhar     | 9.74            | 9.62              |
| Mustard   | 10.77           | 9.92              |
| Groundnut | 8.23            | 8.23              |
| Sugarcane | 632.48          | 608.07            |
| Potato    | 224.85          | 223.83            |

Source: Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun

#### 9. Livestock:

It is evident from the table 2.10 that the district has sizeable number of livestock specially the milch animals, which offers great potential to develop dairy and its related activities in the district.

| Type of animal            |              | Number | Per 100 hectare of net area sown |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|
| Drought Animals : Bullock |              | 65554  | 20                               |
| -                         | Buffaloes    | 68555  | 21                               |
| Milch Animals:            | Cows         | 78705  | 24                               |
|                           | Buffaloes    | 222160 | 68                               |
| Young Stock:              | Cattle       | 94815  | 29                               |
|                           | Buffaloes    | 194769 | 59                               |
| Others:                   | Sheep        | 11141  | 1                                |
|                           | Goats        | 231831 | 51                               |
|                           | Horse        | 8871   | 4                                |
|                           | Pigs         | 29544  | 7                                |
|                           | Other animal | 3528   | 0                                |
| Total Livestock           |              | 933112 | 284                              |
| Poultry                   |              | 183300 | 56                               |

Table 2.10: Livestock in Badaun District-2004-05

Source: Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun.

#### **10. Industrial Development:**

The industrial development in Badaun district has been lackluster as yet. It is evident from table 2.11 that number of registered factories per lakh of population by 2005-06 were around 1.11 only and out of these only 13 factories were in operation and remaining were closed. The working factories provided employment to 21 persons and produced out put to the tune of rupees 4 lakh on per lakh of population. The development of Khadi and village industries was also very much tardy in the district. There were just 3905 small scale, 652 Khadi and village industries per lakh of population in 2005-06. The employment in each of these units was only 15 persons per lakh of population. The number of industrial estates was reported to be 10 in the district but their existing status in terms of number of different working units could not be available.

Table 2.11: Industria l Development in Badaun District (2006-07)

|  |         | Per lakh of |
|--|---------|-------------|
| <b>Registered Factories:</b>               |         | population  |
| Total Units                                | 34      | 1.11        |
| Number of units working                    | 21      | 0.68        |
| Average daily workers/employed             | 2608    | 85          |
| Value of Production                        | 8126715 | 264763      |
| Khadi and Small scale units:               |         |             |
| Small scale units                          | 3905    | 127.22      |
| Employment in small scale units            | 28483   | 927.95      |
| Khadi and Village Industries               | 652     | 21.24       |
| Employment in Khadi and Village Industries |         |             |
| Number of industrial estates               | 5       | 0.16        |

Source: Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun

#### **11. Economic Infrastructure Development:**

Gaps in the development of important infrastructure in Badaun district are evident when compared with the state level situation (Table 2.12). For example, average length of national highways was zero and the length of other district roads per lakh of population was lesser in the district as compared to the state as a whole. Per capita consumption of electricity was lower (120 kW) in Badaun district versus state as whole (168 kW). However, Badaun district is better placed in terms of having larger number of post offices and telephone connections and higher credit-deposit ratio as compared to the state as whole despite the fact that number of nationalized bank offices per lakh of population was lower in the district than the state average.

Table 2.12: Economic Infrastructure Indicators in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh

| Sl. No. | Items  | Badaun | Uttar Pradesh |
|---------|--|--------|---------------|
| 1.      | Length of National highways per lakh of population (2004-05)     | 0      | 2.43          |
| 2.      | Length of state level per lakh of population (2004-5)            | 9.74   | 5.34          |
| 3.      | Length of other district road per lakes of population (2004-05)  | 3.20   | 65.34         |
| 4.      | Per capit a consumption of electricity (2004-5)                  |        | 168.11        |
| 5.      | Number of post offices per lakh of population (2004-5)           |        | 10.62         |
| 7.      | Number of telephone connections per lakh of population (2004-05) | 736    | 1679          |
| 9.      | Bank offices per lakh of population (2004-05)                    |        | 5.00          |
|         | Credit Deposit ratio (2004-05)                                   | 66     | 42.03         |

Source: 1. Statistical Diary, Uttar Pradesh, 2006. 2. Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun.

### **12.** Rural Infrastructure:

In case of vital rural infrastructure, Badaun district is also not better placed when compared with the U.P. State as a whole (Table 2.13). Only the number of rural go-downs, fertilizer stores, cold storages, agro service centres agro service regulated markets and rural banks are relatively higher in the district.

| Items  | Badaun | Uttar Pradesh |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Percentage of villages connected with all weather roads  | 47     | 71            |
| Percentage of villages electrified in total villages     | 58     | 71            |
| Number of Rural Go downs per lakh of net area sown       | 241    | 91            |
| Number of Seed Stores per lakh of net area sown          | 87     | 91            |
| Number of Fertilizer Stores per lakh of net area sown    | 249    | 172           |
| Number of Pesticides Stores per lakh of net area sown    | 77     | 66            |
| Number of Tractors per lakh of net area sown             | 3582   | 4346          |
| Number of Cold Storage per lakh of net area sown         | 15     | 7             |
| Number of Agro Service Centres per lakh of net area sown | 157    | 48            |
| Number of Regulated Markets per lakh of net area sown    | 3      | 2             |
| Number of Rural Banks per lakh of population             | 13     | 3             |
| Number of Veterinary Centres per lakh of livestock       | 3      | 4             |
| Number of Animal Service Centres per lakh of livestock   | 3      | 4             |
| Second 1 Sector 1D's Here De 1st 2006                    |        | •             |

 Table 2.13: Rural Infrastructure in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh (2005-06)

Source: 1. Statistical Diary, Uttar Pradesh, 2006.

2. Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun.

#### **13.** Educational Infrastructure:

Educational infrastructure particularly of basic education is getting spread across all the districts of Uttar Pradesh under the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan. But it is still lower to that of state level (Table 2.14). Some serious pitfalls like higher teacher-students ratio in primary and senior basic schools during 2004-05 were evident in the district, when compared with the state level situation. However, such gaps will be minimized as the process of recruitment of additional teachers is being done in every district of the state

Table 2.14: Educational Infrastructure in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2004-05

| Items   | Badaun | Uttar Pradesh |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Number of primary schools per lakh of population          | 73     | 78            |
| Number of secondary schools per lakh of population        | 21     | 22            |
| Number of higher secondary schools per lakh of population | 5      | 8             |
| Number of degree colleges per lakh of population          | .33    | .86           |
| Number of primary schools per 100 sq. km.                 | 43     | 54            |
| Number of secondary schools per 100 sq. km.               | 12     | 15            |
| Teacher Student ratio in primary schools                  | 110    | 57            |
| Teacher Student ratio in senior basic schools             | 77     | 37            |

Source: 1. Statistical Diary, Uttar Pradesh, 2006. 2. Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun.

### 14. Health Infrastructure:

The status of health infrastructure in Badaun district is not as developed as it is at the state level (Table2.15). Thus, all the major health infrastructure is to be increased in the rural areas of the district.

Table 2.15: Health Infrastructure in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh, 2005-06

| Items  | Badaun | Uttar Pradesh |
|--|--------|---------------|
| Number of allopathic hospitals per lakh of population          | 0.75   | 3.50          |
| Number of beds in allopathic hospitals per lakh of population  | 29     | 35.0          |
| Number of Ayurvedic/Unani hospitals per lakh of population     | 1.11   | 1.42          |
| Number of beds in Unani/Unani hospitals per lakh of population | 1.14   | 6.55          |
| Number of primary health centers per lakh of population        | 0.59   | 2.44          |
| Number of child/women welfare centers per lakh of population   | 10.46  | 12.72         |

Source: 1. Statistical Diary, Uttar Pradesh, 2006.

2. Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun.

#### **15. Housing in Badaun District:**

The Census 2001 data has revealed that the proportion of permanent houses in total houses in Badaun district was 64.40 per cent while the same was 53.40 per cent in the state as a whole. However, in case of other type of houses, situation in Badaun district was opposite to that of state's situation (Table 2.16).

It is also evident that majority of houses are of smaller size in the district; having one or two rooms. The proportion of houses with three or more rooms is relatively higher in the district as compared to the state.

| Item                     | Badaun District | Uttar Pradesh |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Type of Houses           |                 |               |
| Permanent                | 64.40           | 53.40         |
| Semi-Permanent           | 21.90           | 25.60         |
| Serviceable              | 11.40           | 16.90         |
| Non- Serviceable         | 2.30            | 4.10          |
| Number of Dwelling Rooms |                 |               |
| One Room                 | 40.30           | 29.60         |
| Two Rooms                | 34.20           | 31.20         |
| Three Rooms              | 12.50           | 16.8          |
| Four Rooms               | 6.80            | 9.6           |
| Five Rooms & Above       | 4.80            | 10.5          |
| No Exclusive Room        | 1.40            | 2.3           |

Table 2.16: Housing Conditions in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh

Source: Census 2001.

### 16. Sources of Drinking Water:

As evident from 2001 Census, Badaun district was better placed in terms of availability of safe drinking water as taps and hand pumps/tube wells, which are considered as safe sources of drinking water; were the main sources of drinking water in the district (Table 2.17).

| Source              | Badaun District | Uttar Pradesh |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Тар                 | 27.72           | 23.60         |
| Hand pump/Tube well | 70.59           | 64.1          |
| Well                | 1.02            | 11.6          |
| River/ Canal        | 0.01            | 0.10          |
| Any other           | 0.66            | 0.60          |

Table 2.17: Sources of Drinking Water in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh

Source: Census 2001.

### **17.** Sources of Cooking Fuel:

Fire wood, Cow dung cake, and crop residue were reported to be the major sources of cooking fuel in Badaun district. The use of modern means of cooking (LPG) was much lower in Badaun district as compared to the state as a whole (Table 2.18).

Table 2.18: Sources of Cooking in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh

| Source         | Badaun District | Uttar Pradesh |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Firewood       | 35.60           | 44.3          |
| Crop residue   | 22.26           | 13.7          |
| Cow- dung cake | 35.52           | 27.3          |
| Kerosene       | 1.12            | 2.30          |
| LPG            | 5.21            | 11.3          |
| Any other      | 0.29            | 1.10          |

Source: Census 2001.

#### **18.** Income and Poverty Level:

The per capita income and poverty level are the indicators of overall development. The per capita income at 1993-94 and current prices during the year 2003-04 was found to be lower in Badaun district than the state level per capita income. The percentage of rural BPL households was slightly higher in the district as compared to the state. It implies that the economic condition of people living in rural areas of the district is certainly poor in comparison with the people of rural areas in Uttar Pradesh as a whole (Table 2.19).

| Details  | Badaun District | Uttar Pradesh |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| Per capita income at 1993-94 prices (2003-04)      | 5224            | 5975          |
| Per capita income at current prices (2003-04)      | 9766            | 10637         |
| Total number of rural households (BPL census 2002) | 5577734         | 24026176      |
| Total Rural Population (BPL census 2002)           | 2250390         | 127191122     |
| Total number of BPL households (BPL census 2002)   | 163932          | 9956384       |
| Total number of BPL population (BPL census 2002)   | 275409          | 49606616      |
| Percentage of BPL households                       | 28.37           | 27.50         |

Table 2.19: Income and Poverty in Badaun District and Uttar Pradesh

Source: 1. Statistical Diary, Uttar Pradesh, 2006.

2. Statistical Bulletin, 2006, District Badaun.

## **CHAPTER III**

## **DEVELOPMENT STATUS AT THE VILLAGE LEVEL**

#### **1. Introduction:**

As mentioned earlier that a survey was conducted in 30 selected villages of Barielly district. The detailed information regarding the status of development including various facilities and amenities was obtained from various sources regarding the sample villages. In this chapter, analysis of village level information has been made as under:

#### 2. **Population:**

The combined demographic features of 30 villages as presented in table 3.1 shows that 70.82 per cent of the households are Hindu followed by Muslim households (29.18 per cent). The average size of the family has come to 6.46 persons in case of Hindu households but it is higher in case of Muslim households (7.15 persons).

Table 3.1: Religion-wise population

| Religion | Number of households | Percentage | Total population | Average Family size |
|----------|----------------------|------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Hindu    | 6338                 | 70.82      | 40917            | 6.46                |
| Muslim   | 2611                 | 29.18      | 18673            | 7.15                |
| Total    | 8949                 | 100.00     | 59590            | 6.66                |

Source: Based on the Primary data.

#### **3.** Occupational Pattern:

The occupational pattern has been shown in table 3.2, which reveals that 46.22 per cent of the total workers are cultivators followed by 15.68 per cent as agric ultural labour and 17.40 per cent are engaged in animal husbandry. The workers engaged in household and non-household industry constitute 7.61 per cent. The trade and commerce and transport and communication each employ less than one percent of total workers. The construction is other important sector, which provided employment to 2.28 per cent of total workers.

Table 3.2: Occupational Pattern

| Category                  | Number of workers | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Cultivators               | 11800             | 46.22      |
| Agricultural Labour       | 4004              | 15.68      |
| Animal Husbandry          | 4441              | 17.40      |
| Mining                    | 0                 | 0.00       |
| Household Industry        | 530               | 2.08       |
| Non-household Industry    | 1413              | 5.53       |
| Construction              | 582               | 2.28       |
| Trade & Commerce          | 240               | 0.94       |
| Transport & Communication | 238               | 0.93       |
| Other workers             | 2282              | 8.94       |
| Total                     | 25530             | 100.00     |

#### 4. Land use Pattern:

The land use pattern shows that more than 89.72 per cent of the reported area has been brought under cultivation. Forest area is absent. The area under pasture/grazing, orchards and fallows is around two per cent. The details of land use pattern have been shown in table 3.3.

| Item                            | Area  | Percentage |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1. Total reporting area (Acres) | 18174 | 100.00     |
| 2. Forest                       | 0     | 0.00       |
| 3. Uncultivable land            | 873   | 4.80       |
| 4. Non-agricultural uses        | 997   | 5.49       |
| 5. Cultivable Waste             | 198   | 1.09       |
| 6. Pasture/Grazing              | 11    | 0.06       |
| 7. Orchards                     | 359   | 1.98       |
| 8. Other Fallow                 | 167   | 0.92       |
| 9. Current Fallow               | 216   | 1.19       |
| 10. Net Area Sown               | 16305 | 89.72      |
| 11. Area Sown More Than once    | 17611 |            |

Source: Based on the Primary data.

#### 5. Sources of Irrigation:

The sources of irrigation has been shown in table 3.4 which indicates that maximum 53.41 per cent of total irrigated area is being irrigated through other sources which included canal, wells etc. Followed by the private tube wells, which irrigated 42.62 per cent of total irrigated areas in Badaun district. The contribution of government tube wells is 3.97 per cent.

| Table 3.4: Sources | of Irrigation |
|--------------------|---------------|
|--------------------|---------------|

| Source              | Percentage of Irrigated Area |
|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Canal               | 0.00                         |
| Tube well (Govt.)   | 3.97                         |
| Tube well (private) | 42.62                        |
| Hand pump           | 0.00                         |
| Wells               | 0.00                         |
| Ponds, etc.         | 0.00                         |
| Other               | 53.41                        |

Source: Based on the Primary data.

### 6. Roads and Electricity:

Out of total 30 villages surveyed by us, 60 per cent has connectivity with all weather roads. 53.33 of the total villages have Kharanja roads and kutcha-pucca roads are only in 3.33 per cent of total villages. The average distance of all the sample villages from the main road comes to 3.13 kms.

Though 83.33 per cent of total villages have electricity, only 12.39 per cent of total households have electricity connections. Connections for agriculture and commercial purposes are quite a few (Table 3.5).

| Facilities                                | Number of villages | Percentage |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| A. Type of Roads                          |                    |            |
| 1. All weather road                       | 18                 | 60.00      |
| 2. Kharanja                               | 16                 | 53.33      |
| 3. Kutcha-pucca mixed                     | 1                  | 3.33       |
| 4. Kutcha                                 | 11                 | 36.67      |
| 5. Average distance from main road        | 3.13               |            |
| B. Electricity                            |                    |            |
| 1. Villages with electricity              | 25                 | 83.33      |
| 2. Villages without Electricity           | 5                  | 16.67      |
| 3. Households with Electricity connection | 1109               | 12.39      |
| 4. Number of Domestic Connections         | 1005               | 87.17      |
| 5. Number of Agricultural Connections     | 126                | 10.93      |
| 6. Number of Commercial Connections       | 21                 | 1.82       |
| 7. Others                                 |                    | 0.08       |
| 8. Avg hrs of electricity per day         | 1                  | 4.34       |

Table 3.5: Road and Electricity in Sample Villages

Source: Based on the Primary data.

## 7. Drinking Water:

Private hand pumps, private wells and public hand pumps are the sources of drinking water in sample villages. Quite a few of them are non-functional as evident from table 3.6.

| Туре                   | Total | Functional | %          |
|------------------------|-------|------------|------------|
|                        |       |            | Functional |
| Public well            | 0     | 0          | 0.00       |
| Private well           | 52    | 16         | 30.77      |
| Public Hand pumps      | 523   | 125        | 23.90      |
| Private Hand pumps     | 6823  | 6798       | 99.63      |
| Public Tube well       | 0     | 0          | 0.00       |
| Private Tube well      | 0     | 0          | 0.00       |
| Public stand post      | 0     | 0          | 0.00       |
| Tab water inside house | 0     | 0          | 0.00       |
| Tank                   | 0     | 0          | 0.00       |

Table 3.6: Per Household Availability of Sources of Drinking Water and their Status

Source: Based on the Primary data.

#### 8. Toilet Facility:

The toilet facility is available only in 1 sample village and only 3.22 per cent of total households of these villages have toilet in their houses (Table-3.7). All the toilets have been constructed under the Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC).

| Toilet Facility                      | Number of Villages |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Yes                                  | 1                  |
| No                                   | 29                 |
| If, yes, then type                   |                    |
| Private Toilet Facility (Households) | 288                |
| Total Sanitation Campaign            | 288                |

Table 3.7: Toilet Facility

Source: Based on the Primary data.

## 9. Educational Facility:

29 out of 30 sample villages have primary schools within the village. The average distance of primary schools from village is less than 1 Km. and students generally use pucca road to reach primary schools. 15 out of 30 villages have middle schools with in their villages and in case of 6 villages' schools are located within their panchayats. The location of most of the higher secondary schools both for the boys and girls is with in the respective blocks and students cover on an average 4.9 Kms to reach their schools from their villages (Table 3.8). The status of educational facilities has been presented in the following table 8.

|                       |         |        | Place     |          | Distance  |       | Тур   | e of road |           |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Туре                  | Within  | Within | Within    | Within   | (average) | Pucca | Semi  | Kutcha    | Kutcha    |
|                       | Village | Block  | Panchayat | District | (kms)     |       | Pucca |           | Pucca Mix |
| Primary School        | 29      | 0      | 1         | 0        | 0.1       | 18    | 1     | 1         | 1         |
| Middle School         | 15      | 8      | 6         | 1        | 1.3       | 17    | 1     | 1         | 5         |
| High/Higher Secondary |         |        |           |          |           |       |       |           |           |
| (Boys)                | 2       | 21     | 2         | 5        | 4.9       | 17    | 3     | 0         | 10        |
| High/Higher Secondary |         |        |           |          |           |       |       |           |           |
| (Girls)               | 3       | 15     | 1         | 11       | 7.4       | 17    | 3     | 0         | 10        |
| Religious School      | 1       | 2      | 0         | 27       | 15.4      | 18    | 3     | 0         | 9         |
| Non Formal Education  |         |        |           |          |           |       |       |           |           |
| Centre                | 1       | 0      | 0         | 29       | 16.6      | 18    | 2     | 0         | 9         |
| Others                | 2       | 0      | 0         | 28       | 27.3      | 18    | 3     | 0         | 8         |

Table 3.8: Educational Facility

Place code: 1. Within village, 2. within block, 3. within panchayat, 4. within district. Road code: 1. Pucca, 2. Semi-pucca, 3. Kutcha, 4. Kutcha-pucca mix

Source: Based on the Primary data.

#### **10. Students Enrollments:**

The average enrollment of boys and girls in class-I to class-V in the entire sample schools considered to gather has been shown in table 3.9. The enrollment seems to be lower in all the classes in view of the fact that each primary school average number of teachers should be 5 and the teacher-student's ratio should be 40.

| Class     | Boys | Girls |
|-----------|------|-------|
| Class I   | 27   | 25    |
| Class II  | 29   | 24    |
| Class III | 29   | 24    |
| Class IV  | 25   | 20    |
| Class V   | 18   | 17    |

Table 3. 9: School Enrollment

Source: Based on the Primary data.

## **11. Physical Structure of Schools:**

All schools in the sample villages have own buildings except one school. The structure is mostly pucca and made of cement, stone and tiles. The condition of school buildings has been reported to be good and satisfactory in most cases. The classes are held in the classrooms in 23 sample schools. While rest use verandah and open space for the classes. Most of the schools have useable blackboard and play ground. Tat-Patti is provided for seating in majority of schools. The average number of teachers in each primary school is 3 (Table 3.10).

Table 3.10: Physical Structure of Schools

| Details   | Number |
|---|--------|
| Schools with buildings                                | Number |
| Yes   | 29     |
| No  | 1      |
| Total   | 30     |
|   | 50     |
| <u>Type of Structure</u><br>1. Katcha/thatch          | 1      |
| 2. Katcha/tile  |        |
|   | 0<br>2 |
| 3. Semi pucca<br>4. Pucca                             | 26     |
|   | 20     |
| Main Flooring Materials                               | 2      |
| 1. Mud  | 2      |
| 2. Brick  | 3      |
| 3. Cement, stone, tile                                | 24     |
| 4. others   | 0      |
| Condition of Building                                 | 11     |
| 1. Good   | 11     |
| 2. Satisfactory                                       | 15     |
| 3. Poor   | 3      |
| 4. Very bad   | 0      |
| Where classes normally held                           |        |
| 1. in the class room                                  | 23     |
| 2. In the Verandah                                    | 5      |
| 3. Open space   | 1      |
| Does the school have useable blackboard               |        |
| Yes   | 29     |
| No  | 0      |
| Does the school have playground                       |        |
| Yes   | 19     |
| No  | 12     |
| Seating facility provided to students                 |        |
| 1. Tat-patti  | 29     |
| 2. Desk   | 0      |
| How may teachers are currently teaching classes (1-5) | 3      |
| Source: Based on the Primary data                     |        |

#### 12. Private Schools and Preferences of the People for Schools:

There are 11 private schools in 30 sample villages. The group discussion with the people in sample villages has revealed that in 6 villages' people prefer government schools over private and other type of schools for the education of their children (Table 3.11).

| Number of schools within the village | 11   |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| Up to the class level                | 12   |
| No. of students enrolled             | 1335 |
| Preference of the schools            |      |
| 1. Government                        | 6    |
| 2. Private                           | 5    |
| 3. Both                              | 8    |

Table 3.11: Private schools and Preferences of the People for Schools

Source: Based on the Primary data.

#### **13. Health Facility:**

It has been reported that the facility of medical sub center is available only in 5 villages out of 30 sample villages. This facility is available to 11 villages in their respective blocks. None of the sample village has the Primary Health Center (PHC). The people of 27 and 3 villages have to travel within their blocks and district respectively to get the facility of Primary Health Center. On the whole, health facilities are generally available at block or district level to the rural people of the district. The rural people have to travel more around 10 kilometers from their villages to get the major health facilities. The structure of approach roads on which the rural people generally travel to get the medical facilities is good (Table 3.12).

| Type of health Facility              | Within<br>Village | Within<br>Block | Within<br>Panchayat | Within<br>District | Average<br>Distance<br>(Kms) | Pucca | Semi<br>Pucca | Kutcha | Kutcha<br>Pucca Mix |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------|---------------|--------|---------------------|
| Sub-center                           | 5                 | 11              | 7                   | 7                  | 3.33                         | 18    | 1             | 1      | 9                   |
| PHC                                  | 0                 | 27              | 0                   | 3                  | 9.03                         | 17    | 2             | 0      | 11                  |
| СНС                                  | 0                 | 15              | 0                   | 15                 | 13.67                        | 17    | 2             | 0      | 11                  |
| Hospital/Dispensary                  | 0                 | 20              | 0                   | 10                 | 11.37                        | 17    | 2             | 0      | 11                  |
| Private Qualified Allopathic Doctors | 2                 | 21              | 2                   | 5                  | 7.70                         | 17    | 2             | 0      | 10                  |
| Child Care Center                    | 1                 | 21              | 3                   | 5                  | 9.33                         | 17    | 2             | 0      | 11                  |
| Family Planning Clinic               | 1                 | 21              | 3                   | 5                  | 9.03                         | 17    | 2             | 0      | 11                  |
| Chemist/Medicine Shop                | 3                 | 19              | 5                   | 3                  | 4.97                         | 17    | 2             | 0      | 9                   |

Table 3.12: Health Facilities

Code: 1-Within village, 2-Within block, 3-within panchayat, 4-within district. Source: Based on the Primary data.

#### 14. Facilities at PHC/Sub centre:

Most of the medical facilities are available at the Primary Health Centres. However, at majority of sub-centers important medical facilities are lacking (Table 3.13).

| Facilities         | Sub-Centers | РНС |
|--------------------|-------------|-----|
| ANM                | 20          | 30  |
| Medicines          | 15          | 30  |
| Doctor             | 9           | 30  |
| Regular Checkup    | 3           | 30  |
| Pathological Tests | 1           | 29  |
| X-Ray              | 1           | 21  |
| Beds               | 2           | 15  |
| Any Other          | 2           | 10  |

Table 3.13: Facilities at the Sub-centre or PHC

Source: Based on the Primary data.

## 15. Frequency of Visit of Health Staff in Villages:

The frequency of visits of health staff in the villages has been shown in table 3.14. It has become evident that the frequencies of visit of important medical staff like medical officer, ANM etc. are not weekly in most of the villages.

| Type of staff       | Frequency |         |           |              |        |  |  |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--------------|--------|--|--|
|                     | Weekly    | monthly | Quarterly | HalOf Yearly | Rarely |  |  |
| Medical Officer     | 1         | 11      | 5         | 0            | 13     |  |  |
| Lady Health Visitor | 3         | 5       | 1         | 0            | 21     |  |  |
| ANM                 | 11        | 17      | 2         | 0            |        |  |  |
| Malaria Inspector   | 3         | 1       | 1         | 0            | 25     |  |  |
| Health Educator     | 3         | 3       | 2         | 0            | 22     |  |  |
| Vaccinator          | 7         | 14      | 1         | 0            | 8      |  |  |
| ASHA                | 24        | 2       | 4         | 0            | 0      |  |  |
| Others              | 1         | 9       | 0         | 0            | 20     |  |  |

Table 3.14: Frequency of Visit of Health Staff in Villages

Source: Based on the Primary data.

### **16.** The Condition of PHCs:

The buildings of the PHCs have been reported to satisfactory in case of 3 PHCs out of 5 PHCs and poor in 2PHCs. The availability of doctors and medicines is inadequate (Table 3.15).

| Buildings              |   |
|------------------------|---|
| 1. Good                | 0 |
| 2. Satisfactory        | 3 |
| 3. Poor                | 2 |
| 4. Very bad            | 0 |
| Doctor                 |   |
| 1. Available daily     | 0 |
| 2. Available sometimes | 2 |
| 3. Available rarely    | 3 |
| Medicines              |   |
| 1. Available regularly | 2 |
| 2. Occasional problems | 0 |
| 3. Constant problems   | 3 |

Table 3.15: Conditions of PHC/sub-center in the village (put number of villages)

## 17. Aanganwadi/ICDS Centres:

Out of 28 ICDS centres, 26 are located in government buildings and 3 are located in private buildings owned by the Aanganwadi workers. The condition of most of the buildings has been reported to be good and satisfactory. It was found from the record of the/ICDS centres that on average 923 mothers and 2360 children visited these centers during the last month. Visit of the ICDS supervisor is reported to be irregular in majority of cases (Table 3.16).

Table 3.16: Details of Aanganwadi/ICDS Centers in Sample Villages

| Details  | Number |
|--|--------|
| Place of House where located   |        |
| 1. Govt. Building  | 26     |
| 2. Private Building  | 2      |
| If Pvt. Building then whose house located                                  |        |
| 1. Mukhiya House   | 0      |
| 2. Sarpanch  | 0      |
| 3. Other PRI representative  | 0      |
| 4. Aanganwadi workers  | 2      |
| 5. Others  | 0      |
| Condition of Buildings   |        |
| 1. Good  | 11     |
| 2. Satisfactory  | 14     |
| 3. Poor  | 3      |
| 4. Bad   |        |
| Number of Mothers and Children visited/benefited during the last one month |        |
| 1. Mother  | 923    |
| 2. Children  | 2360   |
| Does the ICDS supervisor visit the center                                  |        |
| 1. Yes   | 21     |
| 2. No  | 7      |
| If yes the:  |        |
| 1. Weekly  | 1      |
| 2. Monthly   | 14     |
| 3. Quarterly   | 6      |

### **18.** Private Medical Facilities:

The private doctors are available in 21 villages out of 30 villages. However, only in 2 villages and 1 village, Allopathic and Ayurvedic doctors are available. In rest the villages, Jhola type doctors are there. In this way, condition of medical services is very poor in the villages of Badaun district and people are main depending on Jhola type doctors for their medical needs (Table 3.17).

Table 3.17: Private Medical Facilities (put number of villages)

| Items                  | Number of villages |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| Availability of doctor |                    |
| Yes                    | 21                 |
| No                     | 9                  |
| Type of doctor         |                    |
| Allopathic             | 2                  |
| Homeopathic            | 0                  |
| Unani                  | 0                  |
| Ayurvedic              | 1                  |
| Jhola type             | 19                 |

Source: Based on the Primary data

#### **19.** Public Distribution System

It has been reported that PDS shops are located in 24 villages. The BPL cardholders are around 50 percent in all the cardholders. The supply has been reported to be irregular in majority of villages (Table 3.18).

| Table 3.18: Public Distribution System in | the | Villages |
|---|-----|----------|
|---|-----|----------|

| PDS in the Village                        |         |
|---|---------|
| 1. Yes                                    | 24      |
| 2. No                                     | 6       |
| If yes, the how many shops in the village | 26      |
| If no, then distance                      | 0.6 kms |
| Number of ration card                     | 9820    |
| Type of card                              |         |
| 1. Annapurna                              | 0       |
| 2. Antodya                                | 849     |
| 3. BPL                                    | 1487    |
| 4. APL                                    | 7484    |
| Is supply regular                         |         |
| Foodgrain                                 |         |
| 1. yes                                    | 26      |
| 2. No.                                    | 4       |
| Sugar                                     |         |
| 1. Yes                                    | 27      |
| 2. No                                     | 3       |
| Kerosene oil                              |         |
| 1. Yes                                    | 27      |
| 2. No                                     | 3       |

#### **20. Other Facilities:**

Important facilities as shown in Table 17 are not available in all the 30 sample villages. Only the facilities of GP office, fair price shop, other general shops and commercial bank are available in 10, 24, 27 and 3 villages. Most of the villages have important facilities available within their panchayats, though to get certain facilities like veterinary and regulated markets, people of some villages travel 10 to 6 kms (Table 3.19).

| Туре                     | Within<br>Village | Within<br>Block | Within<br>Panchayat | Within<br>District | Average<br>Distance |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Block HQ                 | 1                 | 29              | -                   |                    | 13.47               |
| Nearest Town             |                   | 12              | 1                   | 17                 | 11.73               |
| Nearest Bus Stop         | 4                 | 18              | 4                   | 4                  | 4.37                |
| Nearest Regular Market   | 2                 | 19              | 4                   | 5                  | 7.17                |
| Nearest Rail Station     | 1                 | 7               | 1                   | 21                 | 20.13               |
| Nearest Post Office      | 6                 | 12              | 12                  |                    | 2.10                |
| Commercial Bank          | 3                 | 18              | 7                   | 2                  | 4.73                |
| Gram Panchayat Office    | 10                | 13              | 5                   | 2                  | 7.57                |
| Fair Price Shop          | 24                |                 | 3                   | 3                  | 2.20                |
| Other General Shops      | 27                | 1               | 2                   |                    | 0.63                |
| Veterinary               | 1                 | 19              | 4                   | 6                  | 7.70                |
| Govt. Procurement Centre | 2                 | 21              | 2                   | 5                  | 8.30                |
| Panchayat ghar           | 13                |                 | 4                   | 13                 | 7.07                |

Source: Based on the Primary data.

### 21. Beneficiaries of the Government Programmes:

As evident from table 3.20 that the proportion of total beneficiaries under different government Programmes in total population of all the sample 30 villages has come out to be quite low. Only in old age/widow pension schemes, the beneficiaries are 688 in total population which is 1.15 per cent in total population. Under all other Programmes, percentage of beneficiaries varies 1 to 3 per cent in their total population. The beneficiaries from the minority community are relatively lower to that of their Hindu counterpart in respect of all the government programmes.

 Table 3.20: Total Beneficiaries in the Government Programmes

| Programme              | Total | SC  | Minority | Others |
|------------------------|-------|-----|----------|--------|
| SGSY                   | 160   | 63  | 21       | 76     |
| NREGA                  | 1653  | 490 | 288      | 875    |
| PMGSY                  | 0     | 0   | 0        | 0      |
| IAY                    | 142   | 83  | 15       | 44     |
| Others                 | 70    | 32  | 13       | 25     |
| Pension Scheme         |       |     |          |        |
| Old age pension scheme | 465   | 167 | 57       | 241    |
| Widow pension scheme   | 223   | 91  | 43       | 89     |

## 22. Village Organizations:

The type of village organizations reported to be in the sample villages have been shown in table 3.21. Most of such organizations are related with agricultural activities. Large number of them has non-functional too.

| Organization                            | Functional | Non-       | Average number of |
|---|------------|------------|-------------------|
|   |            | Functional | members           |
| 1. Cooperatives                         |            |            |                   |
| i. Credit                               | 17         | 13         | 58                |
| ii. Agricultural Inputs                 | 25         | 5          | 84                |
| iii. Production of Khadi                | 14         | 16         | 0                 |
| iv. Marketing                           | 14         | 16         | 2                 |
| v. Dairy Coop                           | 16         | 14         | 1                 |
| vi. Others                              | 5          | 25         | 0                 |
| 2. Workers organizations/unions         | 14         | 16         | 2                 |
| 3. Farmers organization                 | 15         | 15         | 4                 |
| 4. Voluntary Organizations              | 13         | 17         | 0                 |
| 5. Religious/Caste organizations        | 20         | 10         | 8                 |
| 6. Political Organizations              | 15         | 15         | 2                 |
| 7. Cultural Organizations               | 14         | 16         | 1                 |
| 8. Youth Mandal                         | 15         | 15         | 1                 |
| 9. Women Mundal                         | 18         | 12         | 3                 |
| 10. Flood Relief Village Security Force | 14         | 16         | 0                 |
| 11. Others                              | 4          | 26         | 1                 |

| Table 3.21: V | /illage | Organization |
|---------------|---------|--------------|
|---------------|---------|--------------|

## **CHAPTER IV**

## DEVELOPMENT STATUS AT THE HOUSEHOLD LEVEL

As mentioned earlier that a household survey was conducted in Badaun district, covering nine hundred households. The objective was to find out the major socio-economic disparities between minorities versus non-minorities and to identify the gaps in various infrastructural facilities and amenities between the two groups at the household level. The exercise would be useful in planning for bridging the gap that is perceived to be between the minorities and non-minorities in Badaun district in particular and Uttar Pradesh in general. The following are the findings of analysis based on the primary data.

#### 1. Religion-wise Composition of Households:

In the nine hundred households surveyed in Badaun district, the representation of Hindu households was 63.22 percent, followed by 36.78 percent of the Muslim households (Table 4.1). In this way, the survey covered Hindu and Muslim households on the pattern of their representation in the population of the district as evident from the Census of 2001.

Table 4.1: Composition of Different Religious Groups in the Sample

| Religion      | Number of Households | Percentages |  |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| Hindu         | 569                  | 63.22       |  |
| Muslim        | 331                  | 36.78       |  |
| All Religions | 900                  | 100.00      |  |

Source: Primary data based.

#### 2. Family Size:

It is evident from table 4.2 that average size of the family is highest among Muslims (6.31 persons) followed by Hindus (5.53 persons). It is also evident that 19 per cent of the Muslim households have 7-9 persons as compared to 10 per cent of Hindu households. Thus, the analysis has shown that the average size of the family is not only highest among the Muslim households but the proportion of Muslim households with larger family size (7 persons and above) is relatively higher also as compared to Hindu households in Badaun district.

|          | Below 3 | 3 to 5  | 5 to 7  | 7 to 9  | 9 and above | Total   | Average |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Religion | Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons | Persons     | Persons | HH Size |
| Hindu    | 82      | 210     | 206     | 56      | 15          | 569     | 5.53    |
| Muslim   | 40      | 72      | 129     | 64      | 26          | 331     | 6.31    |
| Total    | 122     | 282     | 335     | 120     | 41          | 900     | 5.81    |

 Table 4.2: Family Size in Different Religious Groups

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### **3. Populations and Sex Ratio:**

The composition of male and female population in total population across different religious groups (Table 4.3) indicates that the share of male population is higher in comparison with their female population among Hindus as well as Muslims in the district. The impact of varying proportion of males and females among different religious groups is evident in their sex ratios. The table indicates shows that the Muslim has relatively lower number of females per thousand of males in comparison with Hindus though situation remains generally otherwise. On the whole, it is apparent that Badaun district has considerably lower sex ratio in its rural areas.

| Religion | Male | Percent | Female | Percent | Total | Percent |
|----------|------|---------|--------|---------|-------|---------|
| Hindu    | 1760 | 55.96   | 1385   | 44.04   | 3145  | 100.00  |
| Muslim   | 1182 | 56.64   | 905    | 43.36   | 2087  | 100.00  |
| Total    | 2942 | 56.24   | 2290   | 43.73   | 5232  | 100.00  |

Table 4.3: Population and Sex Ratio among Different Religious Groups

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

### **3.** Classification of Population by Age:

The distribution of total population in different age groups as presented in table 4.4 shows that 17 per cent of the total population of Hindus in rural areas of Badaun district is in the younger age group of up to 45 years compared to 16 per cent in Muslims. The average age is 22 years in case of Hindus as well as Muslims.

| Religion | Below 15<br>Years | 15-30<br>Years | 30-45<br>Years | 45-60<br>Years | 60 and<br>above<br>Years | Total<br>Years | Average<br>Age |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Hindu    | 1492              | 775            | 526            | 268            | 84                       | 3145           | 21.92          |
| Muslim   | 997               | 546            | 303            | 186            | 55                       | 2087           | 21.54          |
| Total    | 2489              | 1321           | 829            | 454            | 139                      | 5232           | 21.77          |

Table 4.4: Classification of Population by Age among Different Religious Groups

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### 5. Education:

It reflects from the table 4.5 that more than half (59.94 per cent) of the rural population of Badaun district is still illiterate. The illiteracy has been found to be the highest (63.30 per cent) among the Muslims as against 57.65 per cent illiteracy among the Hindus. It is found that proportion of population decreases as the level of education rises. In total, less than two per cent of the population has higher education. However, the percentage of Muslims population with below primary and upper primary education is also lower to that of Hindus indicating to a fact that the Muslim in rural areas of Badaun district are not sending their children to primary schools to the extent Hindus are doing and if the situation continues like this, Muslims would not be able to catch

up the Hindus in attainment of basic education in the district. Muslims are also lagging behind the Hindus in terms of attainment of higher/technical education in the district. On the whole, Muslims are educationally backward as compared to the Hindus of the district.

| Level of Education            | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Illiterate                    | 1813  | 57.65      | 1323   | 63.39      | 3136  | 59.94      |
| Below Primary                 | 72    | 2.29       | 65     | 3.11       | 137   | 2.62       |
| Primary                       | 755   | 24.01      | 436    | 20.89      | 1191  | 22.76      |
| Upper Primary                 | 304   | 9.67       | 149    | 7.14       | 453   | 8.66       |
| High School                   | 125   | 3.97       | 70     | 3.35       | 195   | 3.73       |
| Intermediate                  | 47    | 1.49       | 24     | 1.15       | 71    | 1.36       |
| Technical Diploma             | 7     | 0.22       | 2      | 0.10       | 9     | 0.17       |
| Technical professional degree | 2     | 0.06       | 1      | 0.05       | 3     | 0.06       |
| Graduate degree               | 13    | 0.41       | 13     | 0.62       | 26    | 0.50       |
| Post graduate                 | 3     | 0.10       | 2      | 0.10       | 5     | 0.10       |
| Child                         | 4     | 0.13       | 2      | 0.10       | 6     | 0.11       |
| Total                         | 3145  | 100.00     | 2087   | 100.00     | 5232  | 100.00     |

Table 4.5: Classification of Population by Level of Education among Different Religious Groups

Source: Primary data based.

#### 5. Work Status:

The working status of Hindu and Muslim population has been presented in table 4.6. The table shows that the unemployment among the Muslims is relatively higher as compared to Hindus of the district. Employment status is also higher among the Muslims which indicates that Muslim may be facing greater deprivation of employment as a result they are forced to work more as compared to their Hindu counterparts of the district.

| Working Status     | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentages |
|--------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|-------------|
| Employed           | 860   | 27.34      | 594    | 28.46      | 1454  | 27.79       |
| Unemployed         | 33    | 1.05       | 38     | 1.82       | 71    | 1.36        |
| Domestic Work      | 722   | 22.96      | 496    | 23.77      | 1218  | 23.28       |
| Students           | 847   | 26.93      | 408    | 19.55      | 1255  | 23.99       |
| Retired/pensioners | 0     | 0.00       | 1      | 0.05       | 1     | 0.02        |
| Old/child          | 683   | 21.72      | 550    | 26.35      | 1233  | 23.57       |
| Total              | 3145  | 100.00     | 2087   | 100.00     | 5232  | 100.00      |

Table 4. 6: Work Status of Hindu and Muslim Population

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### 6. Main Activities of the Population:

In table 4.7, main activities of the working population of different religious groups of Badaun district have been shown. It reflects from the table that 37.21 per cent of the working population of Hindus and 27.44 per cent working population of Muslims is engaged in agriculture. Apart from agriculture, the Hindus as well as Muslims are found to be largely engaged in manufacturing activities.

| Category                  | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Agriculture               | 320   | 37.21      | 163    | 27.44      | 483   | 33.22      |
| Livestock                 | 59    | 6.86       | 26     | 4.38       | 85    | 5.85       |
| Fisheries                 | 1     | 0.12       | 2      | 0.34       | 3     | 0.21       |
| Forestry                  | 1     | 0.12       | 1      | 0.17       | 2     | 0.14       |
| Manufacturing             | 453   | 52.67      | 374    | 62.96      | 827   | 56.88      |
| Construction              | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Trade and Commerce        | 10    | 1.16       | 10     | 1.68       | 20    | 1.38       |
| Transport                 | 1     | 0.12       | 4      | 0.67       | 5     | 0.34       |
| Fin & admin services      | 2     | 0.23       | 2      | 0.34       | 4     | 0.28       |
| Education health services | 13    | 1.51       | 12     | 2.02       | 25    | 1.72       |
| Total                     | 860   | 100.00     | 594    | 100.00     | 1454  | 100.00     |

Table 4.7: Main Activities of Population

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

### 8. Search for Additional Employment and Preference for Different Activities

The respondents were asked whether they are in search of additional employment. The majority of them reported that they were searching additional employment which is evident from table 4.8. A higher percentage of Muslims reported that they are in search of additional employment as compared to Hindus. The preferred activities are dairy, business, service and industry for Hindus as well as for the Muslims.

| Preferred Activities      | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Searching employment      | 492   | 86.47      | 302    | 91.24      | 794   | 88.22      |
| Not searching             | 77    | 13.53      | 29     | 8.76       | 106   | 11.78      |
| Total                     | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| First Preferred Activity  |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Dairy                     | 224   | 45.53      | 165    | 54.64      | 389   | 48.99      |
| Services                  | 63    | 12.80      | 17     | 5.63       | 80    | 10.08      |
| Poultry                   | 29    | 5.89       | 30     | 9.93       | 59    | 7.43       |
| Piggery                   | 6     | 1.22       | 0      | 0.00       | 6     | 0.76       |
| Sheep/Goat                | 5     | 1.02       | 23     | 7.62       | 28    | 3.53       |
| Fishery                   | 3     | 0.61       | 1      | 0.33       | 4     | 0.50       |
| Ag. Labour                | 38    | 7.72       | 0      | 0.00       | 38    | 4.79       |
| Non Ag Labour             | 3     | 0.61       | 2      | 0.66       | 5     | 0.63       |
| Industry                  | 55    | 11.18      | 32     | 10.60      | 87    | 10.96      |
| Business                  | 66    | 13.41      | 32     | 10.60      | 98    | 12.34      |
| Total                     | 492   | 100.00     | 302    | 100.00     | 794   | 100.00     |
| Second Preferred Activity |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Dairy                     | 108   | 21.95      | 42     | 13.91      | 150   | 18.89      |
| Services                  | 14    | 2.85       | 12     | 3.97       | 26    | 3.27       |
| Poultry                   | 74    | 15.04      | 71     | 23.51      | 145   | 18.26      |
| Piggery                   | 9     | 1.83       | 1      | 0.33       | 10    | 1.26       |
| Sheep/Goat                | 66    | 13.41      | 52     | 17.22      | 118   | 14.86      |
| Fishery                   | 1     | 0.20       | 1      | 0.33       | 2     | 0.25       |
| Ag. Labour                | 11    | 2.24       | 1      | 0.33       | 12    | 1.51       |
| Non Ag Labour             | 4     | 0.81       | 5      | 1.66       | 9     | 1.13       |
| Industry                  | 98    | 19.92      | 61     | 20.20      | 159   | 20.03      |
| Business                  | 107   | 21.75      | 56     | 18.54      | 163   | 20.53      |
| Total                     | 492   | 100.00     | 302    | 100.00     | 794   | 100.00     |

Table 4.8: Religion-wise Households Seeking Additional Employment and their most Preferred Activities

Table 4.8 (contd...)

| Third Preferred Activity |     |        |     |        |     |        |
|--------------------------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| Dairy                    | 73  | 14.84  | 31  | 10.26  | 104 | 13.10  |
| Services                 | 94  | 19.11  | 24  | 7.95   | 118 | 14.86  |
| Poultry                  | 20  | 4.07   | 16  | 5.30   | 36  | 4.53   |
| Piggery                  | 12  | 2.44   | 3   | 0.99   | 15  | 1.89   |
| Sheep/Goat               | 72  | 14.63  | 53  | 17.55  | 125 | 15.74  |
| Fishery                  | 13  | 2.64   | 5   | 1.66   | 18  | 2.27   |
| Ag. Labour               | 0   | 0.00   | 0   | 0.00   | 0   | 0.00   |
| Non Ag Labour            | 3   | 0.61   | 2   | 0.66   | 5   | 0.63   |
| Industry                 | 115 | 23.37  | 89  | 29.47  | 204 | 25.69  |
| Business                 | 90  | 18.29  | 79  | 26.16  | 169 | 21.28  |
| Total                    | 492 | 100.00 | 302 | 100.00 | 794 | 100.00 |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

## 9. Households Owning Different Land Sizes:

All the sample households were classified according to their religion and land size. It became evident that more than 45.62 per cent of the Muslim households are landless in comparison with 36.03 per cent of Hindus households (Table 4.9). The pattern shows that the number of Muslim households decreases as the size of land increases, revealing the fact that the Muslims in Badaun district of Uttar Pradesh possess the smaller size of land in comparison with their Hindu counterparts.

| Size of Land Holding               | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Landless                           | 205   | 151    | 356   |
| Below 1.0                          | 178   | 116    | 294   |
| 1.00 to 2.50                       | 120   | 44     | 164   |
| 2.50 to 5.00                       | 61    | 19     | 80    |
| 5.00 to 7.50                       | 5     | 1      | 6     |
| 7.50 to 10.00                      | 0     | 0      | 0     |
| 10 and above                       | 0     | 0      | 0     |
| Total                              | 569   | 331    | 900   |
| Average land per household (Acres) | 1.64  | 1.22   | 1.50  |

Table-4.9: Distribution of Households of Different Religious Groups according to Land Size

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

## 10. Value of Land Owned:

The value of land owned by different households has been assessed and shown in table 4.10. The Muslim households owning land with higher values are also lesser as compared to Hindu households. It indicates that the quality of land owned by the Muslim households is comparatively poor to that of Hindus. Hence the value of the land owned by the Muslims is also relatively lower.

| Value of Own Land (Rs 000) | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Below 50                   | 65    | 51     | 116   |
| 50-100                     | 48    | 29     | 77    |
| 100-150                    | 38    | 24     | 62    |
| 150-200                    | 47    | 17     | 64    |
| 200-250                    | 19    | 11     | 30    |
| 250-300                    | 28    | 8      | 36    |
| 300-500                    | 72    | 29     | 101   |
| 500 And above              | 47    | 11     | 58    |
| Total                      | 364   | 180    | 544   |

Table -4.10: Classification of Households according to the Value of their Land

Source: Primary data based.

#### 11. Livestock:

The ownership of livestock per household according to different religious group has been placed in table 4.11. The table shows that around 37 per cent of the Hindu households in Badaun district owned milch cattle as compared 34 per cent by the Muslim households. It reflects from the table that relatively lower number of Muslim households owned high valued livestock like milch, drought and young cattle as compared to Hindu households. In this way, the livestock base of the Muslims is relatively poor as compared to the Hindus of the district.

Table -4.11: Per Household Ownership of Livestock by Different Religious Groups

| Type of live stock | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|--------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Milch Animal       | 290   | 153    | 443   |
| Draught Animal     | 95    | 39     | 134   |
| Young Cattle       | 310   | 138    | 448   |
| Goats              | 72    | 93     | 165   |
| Sheep              | 3     | 1      | 4     |
| Poultry            | 10    | 30     | 40    |
| Pigs               | 3     | 0      | 3     |
| Others             | 1     | 2      | 3     |
| Grand Total        | 784   | 456    | 1240  |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### 12. Value of Livestock:

The average value of all the livestock owned by the sample households comes to Rs. 8184 while the value of livestock owned by the Muslim households is Rs. 6518 versus Rs. 9155 in case of Hindu households respectively (Table 4.12). It is also evident that a very high percentage of Muslim households owned lower value livestock as compared to their Hindu counterparts.

| Value of Livestock (Rs.) | Hindu (HH) | Percentage | Muslim (HH) | Percentage | Total (HH) | Percentage |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| No Livestock             | 135        | 23.73      | 48          | 14.50      | 183        | 20.33      |
| Below 1500               | 31         | 5.45       | 43          | 12.99      | 74         | 8.22       |
| 1500 - 30000             | 350        | 61.51      | 176         | 53.17      | 526        | 58.44      |
| 30000 - 45000            | 40         | 7.03       | 14          | 4.23       | 54         | 6.00       |
| 45000 - 60000            | 5          | 0.88       | 47          | 14.20      | 52         | 5.78       |
| 60000 - 75000            | 4          | 0.70       | 2           | 0.60       | 6          | 0.67       |
| 75000 and above          | 4          | 0.70       | 1           | 0.30       | 5          | 0.56       |
| Total                    | 569        | 100.00     | 331         | 100.00     | 900        | 100.00     |
| Average per HH           | 9155       |            | 6518        |            | 8184       |            |

Table 4.12: Distribution of Households by the Value of Livestock (Rs.)

Source: Primary data based.

## **13.** Value of Productive and other Assets:

The average value of all the productive and other assets owned by the sample households comes to Rs. 21547. The value of such assets owned by the Muslim households was found to be marginally higher in comparison with Hindu households (Table 4.13). The table shows that a higher proportion of Muslim households ow ned lower valued assets as compared to Hindu households.

| Value (Rs 000) | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|----------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Below 25       | 432   | 75.92      | 249    | 75.23      | 681   | 75.67      |
| 25 - 50        | 80    | 14.06      | 47     | 14.20      | 127   | 14.11      |
| 50 - 75        | 33    | 5.80       | 10     | 3.02       | 43    | 4.78       |
| 75 - 100       | 12    | 2.11       | 10     | 3.02       | 22    | 2.44       |
| 100 and above  | 12    | 2.11       | 15     | 4.53       | 27    | 3.00       |
| Total          | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| Average per HH | 21513 |            | 21607  |            | 21547 |            |

Table 4.13: Distribution of household by Value of Productive and other Assets

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

#### 14. Ownership of Productive and Other Assets:

The value of productive and other assets has been calculated in table 4.14. The table shows that each sample household of the district Badaun possesses productive and other assets to the tune of Rs. 21547. However, it is evident that the value of such assets owned by each Muslim household is marginally higher as compared to Hindu households. The similar trend is observed when per household value of different type of productive and other assets of Muslim households are compared with the Hindu households. The average value of agricultural implements owned by the Muslim households is substantially lower when compared with the value of agricultural implements owned by the Hindu households.

| Asset                  | Av    | Average value per Household |       |  |  |  |
|------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
|                        | Hindu | Muslim                      | Total |  |  |  |
| Agriculture implements | 5246  | 2766                        | 4334  |  |  |  |
| Non-agriculture        | 403   | 577                         | 467   |  |  |  |
| Transport              | 2640  | 3159                        | 2831  |  |  |  |
| Household Assets       | 3282  | 3731                        | 3447  |  |  |  |
| Total Financial Assets | 9941  | 11374                       | 10468 |  |  |  |
| Total                  | 21513 | 21607                       | 21547 |  |  |  |

Table 4.14: Per Household Value of Productive and Other Assets

Source: Primary data based.

#### **15.** Type of Ownership of Houses:

The ownership of houses is of two types in rural areas of Badaun district. One is the self ownership and other is the houses provided under the India Awas Yojana. It is to be recorded here that the percentage of Muslims who have been given houses under the India Awas Yojana is higher to that of the Hindus in the district (Table 4.15).

Table 4.15: Distribution of Households by the Type of Ownership of Houses

| Housing Status | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|----------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Own            | 564   | 99.12      | 323    | 97.58      | 887   | 98.56      |
| Indira Awas    | 5     | 0.88       | 8      | 2.42       | 13    | 1.44       |
| Rented         | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Grand Total    | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

## 16. Type of Owned Houses:

Four types of houses are constructed in the rural areas of Badaun district. These are thatched, Kutcha/Khaprail, semi-pucca and pucca. There is no substantial difference between the ownership of different type of houses by the Hindus and Muslims (Table 4.16).

Table 4.16: Distribution of Households by the Type of Houses

| Type of House   | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Thatched        | 114   | 20.04      | 61     | 18.43      | 175   | 19.44      |
| Kachha/Khaprail | 101   | 17.75      | 103    | 31.12      | 204   | 22.67      |
| Semi Pucca      | 207   | 36.38      | 83     | 25.08      | 290   | 32.22      |
| Pucca           | 147   | 25.83      | 84     | 25.38      | 231   | 25.67      |
| Others          | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Total           | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

## 17. Number of Rooms in Houses:

Mostly one and two rooms are there in majority of houses in Badaun District (Table 4.17). As for the number of rooms in the houses of Hindus and Muslim is concerned, a higher percentage of Hindu households owned larger number of rooms as compared to the Muslim households.

| Number of Rooms | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| One             | 189   | 33.22      | 132    | 39.88      | 321   | 35.67      |
| Тwo             | 253   | 44.46      | 122    | 36.86      | 375   | 41.67      |
| Three           | 103   | 18.10      | 53     | 16.01      | 156   | 17.33      |
| Four            | 12    | 2.11       | 22     | 6.65       | 34    | 3.78       |
| Five            | 10    | 1.76       | 0      | 0.00       | 10    | 1.11       |
| Six             | 1     | 0.18       | 2      | 0.60       | 3     | 0.33       |
| Seven           | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Eight           | 1     | 0.18       | 0      | 0.00       | 1     | 0.11       |
| Total           | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.17: Distribution of Households by the Number of Rooms

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

## 18. Ownership of Homestead:

The table 4.18 shows that around 98 per cent of total homestead are owned. Around 2 per cent has been provided by government. There is no major difference across the religious group of Hindus and Muslims as far the ownership of homestead is concerned.

| Homestead             | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Own                   | 560   | 98.42      | 323    | 97.58      | 883   | 98.11      |
| Provided by Panchayat | 8     | 1.41       | 7      | 2.11       | 15    | 1.67       |
| Landlord's Land       | 1     | 0.18       | 1      | 0.30       | 2     | 0.22       |
| Others                |       | 0.00       |        | 0.00       |       | 0.00       |
| Total                 | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.18: Distribution of Households according to Sources of Homestead

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### Source. I filliary data based.

# **19.** Electrification and Sources of Lighting:

It is evident from table 27 that only 12 per cent of all rural houses have electricity so far while 12 per cent of the Hindu houses have electricity as compared to 13 per cent of the Muslim houses.

The majority of houses are still using Kerosene oil lamp for lighting of their houses (Table 4.19). This is true also in case of Muslim and Hindu houses but it has been reported that 93 per cent of Muslim houses are using oil lamp while 74 per cent of the Hindu households use oil lamp.

| Electrification | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Yes             | 67    | 11.78      | 43     | 12.99      | 110   | 12.22      |
| No              | 502   | 88.22      | 288    | 87.01      | 790   | 87.78      |
| Total           | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |
| Source of Light |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Oil Lamp        | 369   | 73.51      | 270    | 93.75      | 639   | 80.89      |
| Lantern         | 133   | 26.49      | 18     | 6.25       | 151   | 19.11      |
| Petromax        | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0          | 0     | 0.00       |
| Others          | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0          | 0     | 0.00       |
| Total           | 502   | 100        | 288    | 100        | 790   | 100.00     |

Table 4.19: Distribution of Households by the Electrification and Sources of Lighting

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

## 20. Sources of Drinking Water:

The own handpump/tubewell is the main source of drinking water for 64 per cent of total rural house hold in Badaun District (Table 4.20). This is true for the Hindu as well as Muslim households. The households using tap in dwelling are higher in case of Hindu households than the Muslim households.

| Source                      | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Own hand pump/tube well     | 364   | 63.97      | 210    | 63.44      | 574   | 63.78      |
| Public hand pump/tube well  | 134   | 23.55      | 89     | 26.89      | 223   | 24.78      |
| Tap in dwelling             | 71    | 12.48      | 32     | 9.67       | 103   | 11.44      |
| Own protected dug well      | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Unprotected dug well        | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Public unprotected dug well | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Public protected dug well   | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Public tap                  | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Pond/River/Stream           | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Others                      | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Total                       | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.20: Classification of Households According to Sources of Drinking Water

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

## 21. Distance from Sources of Drinking Water:

Most of the households (78 per cent) have source of drinking water within the house. Muslim households are poorly placed in this regard as 76 per cent of them have drinking water source within their houses as compared to 79 per cent of the Hindu houses (Table 4.21).

| Distance           | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|--------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| With in House      | 451   | 79.26      | 251    | 75.83      | 702   | 78.00      |
| Upto 100 meters    | 106   | 18.63      | 67     | 20.24      | 173   | 19.22      |
| 100 - 250 meters   | 12    | 2.11       | 12     | 3.63       | 24    | 2.67       |
| 250 & above meters | 0     | 0.00       | 1      | 0.30       | 1     | 0.11       |
| Total              | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.21: Classification of Households According to Distance from Sources of Drinking Water

Source: Primary data based.

# 22. Toilet Facility:

In house toilet facility is available to 21 per cent of the total households in rural areas of Badaun district. Muslim households are better in this regards (Table 4.22). Pit latrine and watershed or the two types of toilets, which are being used by majority of the houses across Hindu and Muslim households.

| Tuble 1122. Chassification of Trousenords The obtaining to Tonet Tubling that is type |       |            |        |            |       |            |  |  |
|---|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|--|--|
|   | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |  |  |
| Toilet Facility   |       |            |        |            |       | 0.00       |  |  |
| In House  | 103   | 18.10      | 89     | 26.89      | 192   | 21.33      |  |  |
| Outside House   | 466   | 81.90      | 242    | 73.11      | 708   | 78.67      |  |  |
| Total   | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |  |  |
| Type of Toilet Facility   |       |            |        |            |       |            |  |  |
| Septic Tank Latrine   | 9     | 1.58       | 8      | 2.42       | 17    | 1.89       |  |  |
| In the Dwelling water sealed  | 17    | 2.99       | 12     | 3.63       | 29    | 3.22       |  |  |
| Pit Latrine   | 74    | 13.01      | 63     | 19.03      | 137   | 15.22      |  |  |
| Covered Dry Latrine   | 3     | 0.53       | 8      | 2.42       | 11    | 1.22       |  |  |
| n the community: water sealed   | 1     | 0.18       | 0      | 0.00       | 1     | 0.11       |  |  |
| In the field  | 465   | 81.72      | 240    | 72.51      | 705   | 78.33      |  |  |
| Total   | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |  |  |

Table 4.22: Classification of Households According to Toilet Facility and its type

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

# 23. Types of Cooking Fuel Used:

It has been reported by the sample household 61.22 per cent of them are using wood and 33 per cent using cow-dung cake as the two major sources of cooking fuel (Table 4.23). The similar pattern is evident in Hindu as well as Muslim households.

Table 4.23: Classification of Households According to Type of Cooking Fuel Used

| Source of Fuel     | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|--------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Wood               | 340   | 59.75      | 211    | 63.75      | 551   | 61.22      |
| Coal               | 3     | 0.53       | 0      | 0.00       | 3     | 0.33       |
| Kerosene Oil       | 0     | 0.00       | 1      | 0.30       | 1     | 0.11       |
| Hay/Leaves         | 6     | 1.05       | 9      | 2.72       | 15    | 1.67       |
| Cow Dung Cake      | 199   | 34.97      | 98     | 29.61      | 297   | 33.00      |
| Agricultural Waste | 16    | 2.81       | 7      | 2.11       | 23    | 2.56       |
| Gobar Gas Plant    | 2     | 0.35       | 1      | 0.30       | 3     | 0.33       |
| LPG                | 3     | 0.53       | 4      | 1.21       | 7     | 0.78       |
| Total              | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

## 24. Drainage Facility:

It has been reported by the sample respondents that 69 per cent of their total houses have drainage facility. This facility is available to larger number of Muslim households as compared with the Hindu households (Table 4.24)

| Facility of Drainage | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|----------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Yes                  | 378   | 66.43      | 243    | 73.41      | 621   | 69.00      |
| No                   | 191   | 33.57      | 88     | 26.59      | 279   | 31.00      |
| Total                | 569   | 100        | 331    | 100        | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.24: Drainage Facility

## 25. Sources of Income:

The average annual income has been calculated in case of sample households in table 4.25. It is evident from the table that around Rs. 25000 is the average income of all the rural households considered together. It is also evident that the average annual income is higher in case of Muslim households as compared with the Hindu households. Though the Muslim households get lower income from agriculture when compared the income of Hindu households from the agriculture but Muslim households draw higher income in manufacturing and other non-farm activities than the Hindu households.

Table 4.25: Average Annual Income per Household by Sources of Income

| Source of Income (Rs.) | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Agriculture            | 9775  | 7777   | 9041  |
| Animal Husbandry       | 2475  | 2713   | 2562  |
| Artisan Work           | 38    | 107    | 64    |
| Trade                  | 168   | 350    | 236   |
| Manufacturing          | 25    | 254    | 109   |
| Ag Wages               | 1413  | 1798   | 1555  |
| Non Ag Wages           | 8492  | 10337  | 9170  |
| Services               | 848   | 1291   | 1011  |
| Interest               | 231   | 15     | 152   |
| Remittances            | 104   | 1562   | 640   |
| Pension                | 6     | 91     | 37    |
| Others                 | 162   | 45     | 119   |
| Total                  | 23737 | 26341  | 24695 |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

#### 26. Family Income:

It has been found that 67.78 per cent of the total households have annual income of less than Rs. 25,000 (Table 4.26). A higher percentage of Muslim households fall in this bracket of

income when compared with the Muslim households. Muslims households are also in greater percentage of their total households in highest income group of Rs.1,50,000 to 2,50,000 (0.60 per cent) versus Hindus (0.35 per cent).

| Size of Income (000 Rs) | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Below 25                | 401   | 70.47      | 209    | 63.14      | 610   | 67.78      |
| 25-50                   | 155   | 27.24      | 103    | 31.12      | 258   | 28.67      |
| 50-100                  | 8     | 1.41       | 16     | 4.83       | 24    | 2.67       |
| 100-150                 | 3     | 0.53       | 1      | 0.30       | 4     | 0.44       |
| 150-250                 | 2     | 0.35       | 2      | 0.60       | 4     | 0.44       |
| Total                   | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.26: Distribution of Households by the Size of Family Income (yearly)

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

# 27. Household by the Size of the Expenditure:

The average annual expenditure of below Rs 25,000 is made by 76 per cent of sample households (Table 4.27). Lower percentage of Muslim households falls in this category. Muslim households are also in higher proportion in higher expenditure bracket as compared to the Hindu households falling in higher income brackets.

| Size of Expenditure (000)<br>Rs | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Below 25                        | 455   | 79.96      | 227    | 68.58      | 682   | 75.78      |
|                                 |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| 25-50                           | 107   | 18.80      | 90     | 27.19      | 197   | 21.89      |
| 50-100                          | 7     | 1.23       | 14     | 4.23       | 21    | 2.33       |
| Total                           | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| Per Household Exp.              | 20424 |            | 24030  |            | 21750 |            |

Table 4.27: Distribution of Households by the Size of Expenditure

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

# 28. Details of Expenditure:

The Details of expenditure made by the sample households has been shown in table 4.28. As usual major part of the expenditure is being made on food related items across all religious groups. Average expenditure made by the Muslim households on food related items has been found to be higher as against the Hindu households that too purchased from the market **n** greater proportion.

| Heads of expenditure (Rs.)           | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Cereal and Pulse                     |       |        |       |
| Own Produced                         | 2981  | 3109   | 3028  |
| Purchased                            | 2011  | 4179   | 3441  |
| Vegetable, Meal, Milk, Spices, Sugar |       |        |       |
| Own Produced                         | 892   | 908    | 898   |
| Purchased                            | 2936  | 3582   | 3173  |
| Clothes, Foot ware, bedding          | 1416  | 1494   | 1445  |
| Education                            | 835   | 611    | 753   |
| Medical                              | 1114  | 1234   | 1158  |
| Festivals                            | 1283  | 1385   | 1321  |
| Electricity, Gas and Fuel wood       | 583   | 922    | 707   |
| Telephone                            | 367   | 633    | 465   |
| House repairing                      | 1193  | 1093   | 1157  |
| Payment of loans                     | 403   | 514    | 444   |
| Beedi, Cigarette, alcohol            | 591   | 726    | 641   |
| Recreation                           | 348   | 482    | 397   |
| Marriage and other ceremonies        | 1461  | 1635   | 1525  |
| Others                               | 1009  | 1521   | 1198  |
| Total                                | 20424 | 24030  | 21750 |

Table 4.28: Average Expenditure per Households on Different Items

Source: Primary data based.

## **29.** Migration Characteristics:

The details relating to the migration of workers have been worked out and placed in table 4.29. It came to be known that only male members in younger age group migrate irrespective of their religion. Most of them get involved in manual jobs and migrate with in their own district. Majority of them, in case of Hindu migrants, are daily commuters from their villages while Muslims migrate for short duration in large number of cases.

 Table 4.29:
 Migration Characteristics of Working Family Members

| Pattern of Migration                                    | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|---|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Total Persons   | 286   | 100.00     | 204    | 100.00     | 490   | 100.00     |
| Men   | 286   | 100.00     | 204    | 100.00     | 490   | 100.00     |
| Women   | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Age (in years)  |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Below 20  | 62    | 21.68      | 60     | 29.41      | 122   | 24.90      |
| 20-40   | 174   | 60.84      | 122    | 59.80      | 296   | 60.41      |
| 40-60   | 81    | 28.32      | 43     | 21.08      | 124   | 25.31      |
| 60 and above  | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Occupational Destination                                |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Professional/Managerial and Administrative work (Total) | 1     | 0.35       | 0      | 0.00       | 1     | 0.20       |
| Clerk/ Supervisor/<br>Accountants (Total)               | 2     | 0.70       | 0      | 0.00       | 2     | 0.41       |
| Sales/ Business (Total)                                 | 0     | 0.00       | 9      | 4.41       | 9     | 1.84       |

Table 4.29 (contd...)

| Manual Labour           | 267 | 93.36 | 175 | 85.78 | 442 | 90.20 |
|-------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| Cast Occupation (Total) | 15  | 5.24  | 20  | 9.80  | 35  | 7.14  |
| Cultivate               | 1   | 0.35  | 0   | 0.00  | 1   | 0.20  |
| Place of Migration      |     |       |     |       |     |       |
| Within District (Rural) | 90  | 31.47 | 17  | 8.33  | 107 | 21.84 |
| Within District (Urban) | 100 | 34.97 | 82  | 40.20 | 182 | 37.14 |
| Within State (Rural)    | 2   | 0.70  | 2   | 0.98  | 4   | 0.82  |
| Within State (Urban)    | 18  | 6.29  | 9   | 4.41  | 27  | 5.51  |
| Outside State (Rural)   | 0   | 0.00  | 6   | 2.94  | 6   | 1.22  |
| Outside State (Urban)   | 76  | 26.57 | 88  | 43.14 | 164 | 33.47 |
| Outside Country         | 0   | 0.00  | 0   | 0.00  | 0   | 0.00  |
| Duration of Migration   |     |       |     |       |     |       |
| Short Term              | 77  | 26.92 | 93  | 45.59 | 170 | 34.69 |
| Long Term               | 17  | 5.94  | 15  | 7.35  | 32  | 6.53  |
| Daily Commuters         | 192 | 67.13 | 95  | 46.57 | 287 | 58.57 |
| Total                   |     |       |     |       |     |       |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

## **30. Indebtedness:**

The indebted households have been classified in table 4.30 according to the amount of loan outstanding. It has been found that around 77 per cent of the total households are under debt of below Rs 15000/. The figure is higher in case of Muslim households (81.32 per cent) versus Hindu households (72.73 per cent).

| Amount (Rs.000) | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Below 15        | 56    | 72.73      | 74     | 81.32      | 130   | 77.38      |
| 15-30           | 19    | 24.68      | 13     | 14.29      | 32    | 19.05      |
| 30-45           | 1     | 1.30       | 1      | 1.10       | 2     | 1.19       |
| 45-60           | 1     | 1.30       | 3      | 3.30       | 4     | 2.38       |
| 60-75           | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| 75-90           | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| 90 and above    | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Total H.H.      | 77    | 100.00     | 91     | 100.00     | 168   | 100.00     |
| Per Household   | 1683  |            | 3002   |            | 2168  |            |

Table 4.30: Distribution of Households by Outstanding Amount of loan

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### **31. Sources and Causes of Indebtedness:**

It is evident from the table 4.31 that around 19 per cent of total rural households are under the debt. This proportion is higher in case of Muslim households (27.49 per cent) versus Hindu households (13.53 per cent). The loan has been taken in majority of cases for agriculture, consumption and health care. Among the institutional sources of credit, rural banks are the main source while moneylenders are the major source among the non-institutional sources of loan irrespective of Hindu and Muslim households. However, Muslim households have lesser accessibility to institutional sources of credit when compared with the Hindu households.

| Pattern of Indebtedness          | Hindu   | Muslim  | Total   |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total sample households          | 569     | 331     | 900     |
| Indebted Households              | 77      | 91      | 168     |
|                                  | (13.53) | (27.49) | (18.67) |
| Non-Indebted households          | 492     | 240     | 732     |
|                                  | (86.47) | (72.51) | (81.33) |
| Reason of Loan                   |         |         |         |
| Agriculture                      | 26      | 19      | 45      |
| Trade and Commerce               | 3       | 6       | 9       |
| Animal Purchase                  | 13      | 9       | 22      |
| House Construction               | 5       | 6       | 11      |
| Consumption                      | 2       | 22      | 24      |
| Health                           | 15      | 10      | 25      |
| Festival/Functions               | 6       | 5       | 11      |
| Others                           | 7       | 14      | 21      |
| Source of Loan                   |         |         |         |
| Institutions                     |         |         |         |
| Commercial Banks                 | 8       | 8       | 16      |
| Gramin Banks                     | 21      | 12      | 33      |
| Cooperative Bank/ Society        | 7       | 3       | 10      |
| SHG/ NGO                         | 4       | 5       | 9       |
| Source of Loan Non-Institutional |         |         |         |
| Trader                           | 1       | 5       | 6       |
| Money Lender                     | 7       | 5       | 12      |
| Land-lord Employee               | 2       | 5       | 7       |
| Friends/ Relatives               | 23      | 50      | 73      |
| Others                           | 0       | 0       | 0       |

Table 4.31: Distribution of Households by Indebtedness Characteristics

#### **31.** Educational Status of Children (5-15 Years):

The educational status of children in the age group of 5-15 years has been examined in table 4.32. It is evident that two-third of total children are found to be going to schools. It is also revealed that lower percentage of Muslim children is going to schools as compared with the Hindu children.

The children who are enrolled in the schools shows that their number declines as the standard of class increases. However, the proportion of Muslim children studying in higher classes (class VI to class X) is relatively lower to that of Hindu children in respective classes. It indicates that large numbers of Muslim children leave the schools as the standard of education increases.

It has been reported that majority of the total children are studying in Government schools. This is true in case of Hindu as well as Muslim children. The average distance of the school is within 1 kilometer for majority of students. However, 90 per cent of total Muslim

children are studying in those schools, which are within the distance of less than 1 kilometer while 89 per cent of Hindu children are going in such schools.

Medium of instruction is generally Hindi language but only 2 per cent of Hindu as well as Muslim children are studying in English medium schools.

The non-affordability of fees and other educational expenses is the major reason for dropout of the students. The work at home and need to earn are also the important reasons for the dropout pf the Muslim children. The government assistance in the form of scholarship and others is being availed by 74 per cent of the total Hindu children versus 67 per cent of Muslim children.

| Item                                 | Hindu        | Muslim       | Total         |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Total Children                       | 984 (100.00) | 633 (100.00) | 1617 (100.00) |
| School going children                | 815 (82.82)  | 408 (64.45)  | 1223 (75.63)  |
| Characteristics of enrolled children |              |              | -             |
| Class I                              | 131          | 61           | 192           |
| Class II                             | 113          | 86           | 199           |
| Class III                            | 126          | 69           | 195           |
| Class IV                             | 121          | 58           | 179           |
| Class V                              | 155          | 67           | 222           |
| Class VI                             | 46           | 20           | 66            |
| Class VII                            | 39           | 18           | 57            |
| Class VIII                           | 55           | 14           | 69            |
| Class IX                             | 14           | 6            | 20            |
| Class X                              | 15           | 9            | 24            |
| Left/Dropout                         |              |              |               |
| Left Dropout in Class I              | 78           | 117          | 195           |
| Left Dropout in Class II             | 5            | 2            | 7             |
| Left Dropout in Class III            | 1            | 0            | 1             |
| Type of School                       |              |              |               |
| Government                           | 750          | 371          | 1121          |
| Private                              | 58           | 35           | 93            |
| Madrasa                              | 4            | 1            | 5             |
| Missionary                           | 0            | 0            | 0             |
| Non formal                           | 1            | 1            | 2             |
| Total                                | 2            | 0            | 2             |
| Distance of School                   |              |              |               |
| Within 1 Km                          | 727          | 370          | 1097          |
| 1-2 Kms                              | 28           | 11           | 39            |
| 2-4 kms                              | 38           | 18           | 56            |
| 4 and above                          | 22           | 9            | 31            |
| Medium of Instruction                |              |              | 01            |
| Hindi                                | 766          | 392          | 1158          |
| English                              | 19           | 8            | 27            |
| Hindi English Both                   | 23           | 8            | 31            |
| Urdu                                 | 0            | 0            | 0             |
| Learning Minority Language           | V            | 0            | 0             |
| Yes                                  | 7            | 35           | 42            |
| No                                   | 808          | 373          | 1181          |
| Reason for Dropout                   | 000          | 575          | 1101          |
| Work at home                         | 10           | 3            | 13            |
|                                      | 10           | 3            | 13            |

Table 4.32: Educational Status of Children in the Age of 5 to 15 years

Table 4.32 (contd...)

| Need to earn                | 15  | 14  | 29  |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Far distance of School      | 2   | 3   | 5   |
| Lack of facility in school  | 9   | 5   | 14  |
| Teacher do not teach        | 0   | 2   | 2   |
| Failed in exam              | 0   | 1   | 1   |
| Fees/Expenditure not afford | 70  | 35  | 105 |
| Not interested in reading   | 13  | 21  | 34  |
| Availing Govt Assistance    |     |     |     |
| Yes                         | 603 | 273 | 876 |
| No                          | 212 | 135 | 347 |
| Total                       |     |     |     |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

#### **33.** Aspiration of Parents for Education of their Children:

The respondents were asked to express their aspirations regarding the education of their children. Around 27 per cent of the total respondents have not revealed any aspiration. The percentage of no respondents is higher in Muslims as compared with Hindus (Table 4.33). Out of those who expressed their aspiration, around 35 per cent of then want their boys to get education up to the intermediate level. Aspiration for higher education of the boys is relatively lower among the Muslim respondents.

The no response respondents are higher as far the educational aspiration of their girls is concerned. Such respondents are higher among the Muslims as compared wit the Hindus. The similar trend is evident as far the aspiration for higher education of girls is concerned in Hindus as well as in Muslims.

| Aspiration of Parents for<br>education of their children | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|--|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| BOYS   |       |            |        | 11         |       |            |
| No Response  | 129   | 22.67      | 115    | 34.74      | 244   | 27.11      |
| High school  | 55    | 9.67       | 23     | 6.95       | 78    | 8.67       |
| Intermediate   | 137   | 24.08      | 96     | 29.00      | 233   | 25.89      |
| Pre Graduation/Diploma                                   | 48    | 8.44       | 12     | 3.63       | 60    | 6.67       |
| Bachelor's Degree  | 83    | 14.59      | 46     | 13.90      | 129   | 14.33      |
| Post Graduation  | 93    | 16.34      | 30     | 9.06       | 123   | 13.67      |
| Technical Education                                      | 24    | 4.22       | 9      | 2.72       | 33    | 3.67       |
| Total  | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| GIRLS  |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| No Response  | 182   | 31.99      | 147    | 44.41      | 329   | 36.56      |
| High school  | 67    | 11.78      | 40     | 12.08      | 107   | 11.89      |
| Intermediate   | 138   | 24.25      | 89     | 26.89      | 227   | 25.22      |
| Pre Graduation/Diploma                                   | 40    | 7.03       | 10     | 3.02       | 50    | 5.56       |
| Bachelor's Degree  | 114   | 20.04      | 36     | 10.88      | 150   | 16.67      |
| Post Graduation  | 21    | 3.69       | 7      | 2.11       | 28    | 3.11       |
| Technical Education                                      | 7     | 1.23       | 2      | 0.60       | 9     | 1.00       |
| Total  | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.33: Aspirations of parents regarding their Children's Education

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

### **34.** Interest in Training:

The sample respondents were asked to express their interest for different types of training to improve their employment and income level. Around 42 per cent of the working members showed their interest in training irrespective of Hindu and Muslim respondents (Table 4.34). B.Ed/BTC training, training in animal husbandry and mechanical works was desired by the Hindu as well as Muslim respondents. Quite a few of them were willing to bear the training expenses.

| Type of Training                                   | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|--|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Vocational Training                                | 141   | 42.09      | 87     | 42.23      | 228   | 42.14      |
| Driver   | 1     | 0.30       | 4      | 1.94       | 5     | 0.92       |
| Mechanic   | 24    | 7.16       | 37     | 17.96      | 61    | 11.28      |
| Computer   | 9     | 2.69       | 9      | 4.37       | 18    | 3.33       |
| B.Ed/BTC   | 86    | 25.67      | 39     | 18.93      | 125   | 23.11      |
| ITI/Polytechnics                                   | 2     | 0.60       | 0      | 0.00       | 2     | 0.37       |
| Animal Husbandry                                   | 50    | 14.93      | 15     | 7.28       | 65    | 12.01      |
| Small Enterprises                                  | 0     | 0.00       | 8      | 3.88       | 8     | 1.48       |
| Others   | 22    | 6.57       | 7      | 3.40       | 29    | 5.36       |
| Total  | 335   | 100.00     | 206    | 100.00     | 541   | 100.00     |
| Place of Training                                  |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Local  | 47    | 14.03      | 55     | 26.70      | 102   | 18.85      |
| Nearby centre                                      | 216   | 64.48      | 131    | 63.59      | 347   | 64.14      |
| District Headquarter                               | 72    | 21.49      | 20     | 9.71       | 92    | 17.01      |
| Total  | 335   | 100.00     | 206    | 100.00     | 541   | 100.00     |
| Willingness to pay for<br>training at least partly |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Yes  | 78    | 23.28      | 65     | 31.55      | 143   | 26.43      |
| No   | 257   | 76.72      | 141    | 68.45      | 398   | 73.57      |
| Total  | 335   | 100.00     | 206    | 100.00     | 541   | 100.00     |

 Table 4.34: Interest in Training of Working Family Members

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

#### **35.** Types of Diseases

It has come out from the survey that around 19 per cent of rural population has suffered from various diseases during the last one year. While 20 per cent of the total Hindu population fell ill, 17 per cent of the Muslim population fell ill. The seasonal and stomach related diseases are the most prevalent (Table 4.35).

| Type of disease              | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|------------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Number of person falling ill | 633   | 20.13      | 355    | 17.01      | 988   | 18.88      |
| Seasonal Diseases            | 331   | 52.29      | 196    | 55.21      | 527   | 53.34      |
| Prolong Fevers               | 97    | 15.32      | 29     | 8.17       | 126   | 12.75      |
| ENT/Eyes                     | 12    | 1.90       | 9      | 2.54       | 21    | 2.13       |
| Accident                     | 25    | 3.95       | 21     | 5.92       | 46    | 4.66       |
| Stomach Related              | 105   | 16.59      | 54     | 15.21      | 159   | 16.09      |
| Chronic diseases             | 41    | 6.48       | 32     | 9.01       | 73    | 7.39       |
| Others                       | 22    | 3.48       | 14     | 3.94       | 36    | 3.64       |
| Total                        | 633   | 100.00     | 355    | 100.00     | 988   | 100.00     |

Table 4.35: Type of Diseases

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

#### **36.Place of Treatment:**

In private hospitals, 65.28 percent of the patients receive treatment followed by more than 13 percent by the Jhola Chap doctors (Table 4.36). It was also reported that only 5 percent of the total patient were admitted in the hospital for treatment. The admission was taken by 6.48 percent of Muslim patients as compared to 3.95 percent by Hindu patients. The average annual expenditure incurred by the Muslims on their treatment was Rs 1234 as compared to Rs. 1114 incurred by the Hindus and Rs. 1158 by the total population.

| Place of Treatment                | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Govt. Hospital                    | 25    | 3.95       | 23     | 6.48       | 48    | 4.86       |
| Private Hospital                  | 446   | 70.46      | 199    | 56.06      | 645   | 65.28      |
| Both Government and Private       | 63    | 9.95       | 55     | 15.49      | 118   | 11.94      |
| Traditional                       | 5     | 0.79       | 38     | 10.70      | 43    | 4.35       |
| Local Government health employee  | 1     | 0.16       | 0      | 0.00       | 1     | 0.10       |
| Home treatment                    | 1     | 0.16       | 1      | 0.28       | 2     | 0.20       |
| Quacks                            | 92    | 14.53      | 39     | 10.99      | 131   | 13.26      |
| Total                             | 633   | 100.00     | 355    | 100.00     | 988   | 100.00     |
| Average Expenditure per household | 1114  |            | 1234   |            | 1158  |            |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### **37.** Immunization of Children:

The respondents were enquired about the immunization of their children up to the age of 5 years. It was reported that more than 94 per cent of children were given polio drops. It was higher in case of children of Hindus (95.30 per cent) as against the Children of Muslims (92.56 per cent). The percentage of immunization of Muslim children was found to be lower to that of Hindu children in case of entire four major diseases (Table 4.37).

Table 4.37: Immunization of Children

| Details of Vaccination     | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Polio                      | 501   | 326    | 827   |
| Diphtheria                 | 449   | 221    | 670   |
| BCG                        | 466   | 260    | 726   |
| Small Pox                  | 377   | 174    | 551   |
| Jaundice                   | 7     | 5      | 12    |
| Where Vaccination received |       |        |       |
| Government Hospital        | 5     | 4      | 9     |
| Private Hospital           | 14    | 11     | 25    |
| Midwife/ASHA               | 480   | 306    | 786   |
| Untrained Dai              |       |        |       |
| Others                     |       |        |       |
| Total                      | 499   | 321    | 820   |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

#### **38.** Public Distribution System:

It is evident from the survey that 66 per cent of the total households are in BPL category in rural areas of Badaun district. The proportion of Muslim households is higher (68 per cent) as compared to Hindu households (64 per cent) as shown in Table 4.38.

The data shows that in general, 47 per cent of total households have BPL cards as against 43 per cent of Muslim households and 50 per cent of Hindu households. Majority of the households (90 per cent) get the ration from PDS and the Muslim households who obtain ration from PDS is 87 per cent versus 92 per cent of Hindu households.

The quantity of items (wheat, rice, sugar, kerosene etc.) obtained from the PDS by the sample households is inadequate. The non-availability of PDS items in time is the main problem faced by the PDS beneficiaries. Less weight and insufficient quantity are two other major problems. Lack of timely supply in PDS is the most important reason for not getting the PDS items for all the households. While the lack of money is the prime reason for the Muslims households of not taking PDS items, lack of timely supply in PDS is the most important reason for not getting PDS items for the Hindu households.

| Are you in BPL Category               | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Yes                                   | 366   | 226    | 592   |
| No                                    | 203   | 105    | 308   |
| Total                                 | 569   | 331    | 900   |
| Do you have BPL Card                  |       |        |       |
| Yes                                   | 185   | 98     | 283   |
| No                                    | 181   | 128    | 309   |
| Total                                 | 366   | 226    | 592   |
| Do u get ration from PDS              |       |        |       |
| Yes                                   | 522   | 289    | 811   |
| No                                    | 47    | 42     | 89    |
| Total                                 | 569   | 331    | 900   |
| Problems faced in PDS                 |       |        |       |
| Insufficient Quantity                 | 22    | 24     | 46    |
| Bad Quality                           | 2     | 2      | 4     |
| Less Weight                           | 41    | 22     | 63    |
| Non-availability in time              | 295   | 110    | 405   |
| Others                                | 51    | 23     | 74    |
| Are you capable of getting your share |       |        |       |
| Yes                                   | 307   | 143    | 450   |
| No                                    | 262   | 188    | 450   |
| If No, than reasons                   |       |        |       |
| Lack of Money                         | 45    | 35     | 80    |
| Lack of Supply in PDS                 | 163   | 101    | 264   |
| Discrimination by PDS Trader          | 23    | 29     | 52    |
| Others                                | 22    | 16     | 38    |

 Table 4.38:
 Details of Public Distribution System

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

#### **39.** Problems and losses during Communal Violence

None of the households among the 900 households has reported the problem of Communal violence in the 30 villages surveyed by us. Therefore no loss of human life, property and feelings of insecurity were reported.

#### 40. Accessibility to Media and Communication

The survey has revealed that rural households in Badaun District have limited access to media and communication. Out of the total rural households surveyed, 4 percent read newspaper, 20 percent listen radio, 9 percent watch T.V. but 89 have mobiles. Within different religious group, Muslims have relatively lower access to different means of media including the mobiles (Table 4.39).

| Media      | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Newspaper  | 18    | 16     | 34    |
| Radio      | 110   | 73     | 183   |
| Television | 44    | 38     | 82    |
| Telephone  | 1     | 0      | 1     |
| Mobile     | 517   | 283    | 800   |

Table 4.39: Access to Media and Communication

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

#### 41. Awareness and Benefited from Government Programmes:

The levels of awareness of the surveyed households about the Government Programmes and percentage of the households who have been benefited from these programmes in total households have been worked out in Table 4.40.

It is apparent that around 47 per cent and 52 per cent of all households are aware about SGSY and NREGS respectively. However, under both the Programmes, less than one per cent of all sample households have been benefited. In case of IAY and Old age/Widow pension, a very high proportion of all households have reported their awareness, yet benefits have remained confined to quite a few of them. The awareness level among the Muslim households about the various programmes has been found to be lower as compared with the Hindu households of the district.

 Table 4.40:
 Awareness about Government Programmes

| Awareness     | Hindu | Muslim | Total |
|---------------|-------|--------|-------|
| SGSY          | 291   | 131    | 422   |
| NREGA         | 344   | 126    | 470   |
| IAY           | 549   | 308    | 857   |
| Widow Pension | 546   | 313    | 859   |
| TSC           | 440   | 249    | 689   |
| ARWSP         | 92    | 12     | 104   |
| SSA           | 530   | 294    | 824   |

Table 4.40 (contd...)

| Benefited                          |     |     |     |
|------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| SGSY                               | 1   | 2   | 3   |
| NREGA                              | 1   | 3   | 4   |
| IAY                                | 10  | 2   | 12  |
| Widow Pension                      | 10  | 1   | 11  |
| TSC                                | 142 | 81  | 223 |
| ARWSP                              | 4   | 1   | 5   |
| SSA                                | 346 | 160 | 506 |
| Who selected                       |     |     |     |
| SGSY                               |     |     |     |
| Pradhan                            | 1   | 2   | 3   |
| NREGA                              |     |     |     |
| Pradhan                            | 1   | 3   | 3   |
| IAY                                |     |     |     |
| Pradhan                            | 9   | 2   | 11  |
| Village Secretary                  | 1   | 0   | 1   |
| Widow Pension                      |     |     |     |
| Pradhan                            | 10  | 1   | 11  |
| TSC                                |     |     |     |
| Pradhan                            | 139 | 78  | 217 |
| Village Secretary                  | 1   | 0   | 1   |
| Self                               | 2   | 3   | 5   |
| ARWSP                              |     |     |     |
| Pradhan                            | 4   | 1   | 5   |
| SSA                                |     |     |     |
| Pradhan                            | 256 | 117 | 373 |
| Self                               | 90  | 43  | 133 |
| Beneficial for you and your family |     |     |     |
| SGSY                               | 26  | 12  | 38  |
| NREGA                              | 43  | 10  | 53  |
| AY                                 | 169 | 74  | 243 |
| Widow Pension                      | 65  | 43  | 108 |
| TSC                                | 82  | 67  | 149 |
| ARWSP                              | 8   | 2   | 10  |
| SSA                                | 177 | 128 | 305 |

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages.

Source: Primary data based.

# 42. Facilities which Need Special Attention: Views of the Respondents:

The respondents were asked to tell about the various facilities in order of their preference which need special attention. Health, road and education facilities were most desires by all the respondents. The similar views were expressed by the Hindu as well Muslim respondents (Table 4.41).

| First Preference  | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Road              | 76    | 13.36      | 66     | 19.94      | 142   | 15.78      |
| Water             | 31    | 5.45       | 4      | 1.21       | 35    | 3.89       |
| Health Facilities | 202   | 35.50      | 90     | 27.19      | 292   | 32.44      |
| Education         | 78    | 13.71      | 37     | 11.18      | 115   | 12.78      |
| Transport         | 0     | 0.00       | 0      | 0.00       | 0     | 0.00       |
| Electricity       | 29    | 5.10       | 34     | 10.27      | 63    | 7.00       |
| House             | 10    | 1.76       | 4      | 1.21       | 14    | 1.56       |
| Employment        | 16    | 2.81       | 17     | 5.14       | 33    | 3.67       |
| Sanitation        | 5     | 0.88       | 10     | 3.02       | 15    | 1.67       |
| Others            | 122   | 21.44      | 69     | 20.85      | 191   | 21.22      |
| Total             | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| Second Preference |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Road              | 65    | 11.42      | 33     | 9.97       | 98    | 10.89      |
| Water             | 38    | 6.68       | 7      | 2.11       | 45    | 5.00       |
| Health Facilities | 152   | 26.71      | 106    | 32.02      | 258   | 28.67      |
| Education         | 144   | 25.31      | 50     | 15.11      | 194   | 21.56      |
| Transport         | 0     | 0.00       | 3      | 0.91       | 3     | 0.33       |
| Electricity       | 26    | 4.57       | 27     | 8.16       | 53    | 5.89       |
| House             | 14    | 2.46       | 14     | 4.23       | 28    | 3.11       |
| Employment        | 8     | 1.41       | 26     | 7.85       | 34    | 3.78       |
| Sanitation        | 10    | 1.76       | 9      | 2.72       | 19    | 2.11       |
| Others            | 112   | 19.68      | 56     | 16.92      | 168   | 18.67      |
| Total             | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| Third Preference  |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Road              | 54    | 9.49       | 40     | 12.08      | 94    | 10.44      |
| Water             | 67    | 11.78      | 28     | 8.46       | 95    | 10.56      |
| Health Facilities | 98    | 17.22      | 55     | 16.62      | 153   | 17.00      |
| Education         | 132   | 23.20      | 59     | 17.82      | 191   | 21.22      |
| Transport         | 1     | 0.18       | 3      | 0.91       | 4     | 0.44       |
| Electricity       | 19    | 3.34       | 25     | 7.55       | 44    | 4.89       |
| House             | 28    | 4.92       | 12     | 3.63       | 40    | 4.44       |
| Employment        | 17    | 2.99       | 35     | 10.57      | 52    | 5.78       |
| Sanitation        | 14    | 2.46       | 5      | 1.51       | 19    | 2.11       |
| Others            | 139   | 24.43      | 69     | 20.85      | 208   | 23.11      |
|                   |       |            |        |            |       |            |

Table 4.41: Views of Respondents regarding Facilities which need Special Attention

# 43. Important Aspects Lagging in the Life of Respondents:

Employment, land, housing, health care facilities and skill are the most important aspects which are lagging in the life of the respondents (Table 4.42). This is similar feeling in Hindus as well in Muslims.

| First Preference  | Hindu | Percentage | Muslim | Percentage | Total | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|
| Land              | 143   | 25.13      | 107    | 32.33      | 250   | 27.78      |
| House             | 81    | 14.24      | 50     | 15.11      | 131   | 14.56      |
| health            | 64    | 11.25      | 38     | 11.48      | 102   | 11.33      |
| Education         | 69    | 12.13      | 26     | 7.85       | 95    | 10.56      |
| Employment        | 186   | 32.69      | 97     | 29.31      | 283   | 31.44      |
| Skill             | 18    | 3.16       | 10     | 3.02       | 28    | 3.11       |
| Electricity/Water | 3     | 0.53       | 0      | 0.00       | 3     | 0.33       |
| Others            | 5     | 0.88       | 3      | 0.91       | 8     | 0.89       |
| Total             | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| Second Preference |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Land              | 32    | 5.62       | 30     | 9.06       | 62    | 6.89       |
| House             | 96    | 16.87      | 70     | 21.15      | 166   | 18.44      |
| health            | 87    | 15.29      | 38     | 11.48      | 125   | 13.89      |
| Education         | 110   | 19.33      | 67     | 20.24      | 177   | 19.67      |
| Employment        | 164   | 28.82      | 71     | 21.45      | 235   | 26.11      |
| Skill             | 69    | 12.13      | 51     | 15.41      | 120   | 13.33      |
| Electricity/Water | 4     | 0.70       | 2      | 0.60       | 6     | 0.67       |
| Others            | 7     | 1.23       | 2      | 0.60       | 9     | 1.00       |
| Total             | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |
| Third Preference  |       |            |        |            |       |            |
| Land              | 33    | 5.80       | 20     | 6.04       | 53    | 5.89       |
| House             | 50    | 8.79       | 31     | 9.37       | 81    | 9.00       |
| health            | 136   | 23.90      | 78     | 23.56      | 214   | 23.78      |
| Education         | 125   | 21.97      | 61     | 18.43      | 186   | 20.67      |
| Employment        | 125   | 21.97      | 84     | 25.38      | 209   | 23.22      |
| Skill             | 81    | 14.24      | 51     | 15.41      | 132   | 14.67      |
| Electricity/Water | 5     | 0.88       | 1      | 0.30       | 6     | 0.67       |
| Others            | 14    | 2.46       | 5      | 1.51       | 19    | 2.11       |
| Total             | 569   | 100.00     | 331    | 100.00     | 900   | 100.00     |

Table 4.42: Views of the respondents regarding the Important Aspects lagging in their lives'

## CHAPTER V

# **CRITICAL DEVELOPMENT GAPS IN THE DISTRICT**

#### **1. Introduction:**

In the preceding chapters, socio-economic development status has been examined at the three levels. First is the analysis of development status at the district level taking into account Badaun District as a whole. Second is the analysis based on the development status of all the 30 sample villages considered together. The last is the analysis based on the development status examined on the basis of primary data collected from 900-sample households. In this chapter, critical development gaps have been identified in relation to three spatial levels, i.e. (I) Badaun District as a whole; (II) Village level and (III) Household level.

#### 2. Development Gaps at the District Level:

- 1. The growth of population and population density are very high in the district. Both these factors are exerting an adverse impact on the employment and income prospects of the people. Therefore the growth of population in the district is to be managed. The literacy level has also found to be lower in the district. This gap is also to be taken care of on priority basis.
- 2. The literacy level and work participation rate among Muslims of the district has been found to be at bottom when compared with other minorities and Hindus of the district. The gap between Muslims and other religious groups in terms of literacy and employment is to be abridged if balanced social development is to be achieved in Badaun District.
- 3. The full time employment opportunities are diminishing in the district and the number of marginal workers are fast increasing; pointing to the situation of distress diversification. Therefore special attention needs to be given to generate full time employment opportunities in Badaun District. The white-collar job opportunities are also fast diminishing in the district.
- 4. The agriculture is still at the subsistence level in the district. Crop intensity of the diversification is still low despite larger area has been brought under cultivation and irrigation. The productivity of major crops is still lower. So, the appropriate planning is required to fill these gaps in the agricultural sector.

- 5. The district has sizeable livestock population but of non-descript type with relatively low yield. The quality of livestock needs to be improved in the district.
- 6. Though industrial development in general has been lack luster in the district but development in Khadi and Village industries, which have great potential of employment generation, has not been reasonable enough in particular. Thus the activities of the Khadi and the Village industry board need to be activated to bridge these gaps.
- 7. Three major infrastructures; namely the road network, electricity expansion and its supplies, and the number of bank branches need to be increased at the district level.
- 8. There is a gap in rural infrastructure particularly in case of rural go-downs, agro service centers and regulated markets. These gaps are to be abridged to match with the state averages of these specialties.
- 9. Some gaps are visible in educational infrastructure of schools like bad condition of school buildings, high teacher student ratio etc. We expect these gaps to be filled under the SSA Programme.
- 10. There are also some gaps in the health infrastructure of Badaun district. So Primary health centers, Allopathic hospitals and Child/Women welfare centers are to be increased in the district
- 11. The analysis points to the fact that rural part of the district is comparatively backward to its urban part. Low return from agriculture, inadequate employment opportunities and critical gaps in key rural infrastructure has reduced the incomes of the rural people in the district. As a result the percentage of BPL population in rural population of the district is higher as compared to the State average. Therefore, proper planning for the composite development of rural areas of Badaun District is required.

## **3.** Development Gaps at the Village Level:

- 1. The combined population data of 30 sample villages has revealed that the size of households in general and among the Muslims population in particular is high. This calls for the effective implementation of family planning measures. The high proportion of total workers (43 per cent) is engaged as cultivators followed by agricultural labours (14 per cent). Few of the workers are found to be employed in non-farm activities, which has tremendous potential of employment. This necessitates the planning for the development of non-farm activities in the villages of Badaun District.
- 2. A high proportion of total cultivated area has been brought under cultivation, yet the cropping intensity is still low which requires the intensification of cropping as well as its diversification.

- 3. It has been reported that majority of the villages (67 per cent) are connected with all weather roads but average distance of all 30 villages taken together from main road comes to 15 kilometers. On the whole road connectivity is still to be increased at the village level by covering more than 33 per cent of total villages, which are still unconnected with all weather roads.
- 4. Though the electricity connection has been extended to all the villages but only 21 per cent of the total households have electricity connections. Thus the electricity, which is a major infrastructure in development, is largely lacking at the village level in Badaun District.
- 5. Private and Public hand pumps are the major source of dinking water in sample villages. Quite a few of them have become non-functional. A campaign needs to be launched in rural areas of the district to rectify all the non-functional handpumps with the involvement of Gram panchayats.
- 6. Only in 7 per cent of the entire sample villages' toilet facility is available and 18 per cent of the total households of these villages have in-house toilet facility. The Total Sanitation Campaign (TSA) needs to be made more effective to abridge this gap.
- 7. The primary schools are in all the sample villages but higher secondary schools are generally located at block headquarters. The students travel generally 7-9 kilometers to reach the higher secondary schools. This gap in education status is to be narrowed down. The average enrollment of students (both boys and girls) has been found to be lower on the basis of recommended norms. Therefore enrollment in primary schools needs to be increased. The infrastructure in primary schools is by and large satisfactory. Though private schools are operational in 19 villages but half of the village people still prefer government schools for the education of their wards.
- 8. Serious gaps are evident in the availability of different health facilities of the sample villages, so much that the people generally travel more than 10 kilometers from their villages to access major health centres. This gap needs to be properly assessed and appropriate steps are required to betaken.
- 9. Public Distribution System (PDS) is not functioning effectively. The irregular supply is the major problem. This needs to be taken care of to make the PDS more result oriented.
- Gaps in certain key facilities like Veterinary and Regulated markets are visible. Developing a convergence with the UP State Markets Board and Animal Husbandry Department can reduce these gaps.

- 11. A number of non-agricultural activities are being undertaken in sample villages. The problems in marketing and production are generally hampering the growth of these activities. The Khadi and Village Industry Board should be activated to look in to these problems. Lack of skill development is also one of the serious constraints in the development of these industries.
- 12. The functioning of Self-help groups has been reported in 15 villages. Saving and credit is their major activity. Now these groups are to be oriented to undertake some income generating activities as well.
- 13. The number of beneficiaries under different Government programmes is quite a few except Pension Scheme, benefits from other programmes accrued to only 3 per cent of the total population.

## 4. Development Gaps at the Household Level:

- Hindu population accounts for the majority of population in Badaun district followed by the Muslims. Other minority groups like Christian, Sikh and Jain are in very negligible number. Therefore, the development characteristics of Hindu and Muslim households have been compared as far their development status is concerned.
- 2. The size of the family among Muslim households is relatively higher in rural areas of the district, which needs to be managed. On the whole, sex ratio is quite low in the district yet it is highest in case of Muslim households.
- 3. A high proportion of human resources have been found to be of younger age. This is true across all the religious groups which are the strength of rural areas of the district.
- 4. The level of literacy has been found to be high at the household level. More than half of the Muslim population is illiterate. This is one of the serious weaknesses of the population in general as well as the Muslims in particular.
- 5. Most of the rural population and Hindu population are employed in agriculture while the Muslims are largely employed in non-agricultural activities. Therefore for the development of Muslims in the rural part of Badaun district, promotion of non-farm activities is crucial.
- 6. It has come out that most of the households are in search of additional employment opportunities and they have expressed their preference largely for agro-based activity like dairy etc. It has already been pointed out that non-farm activities need to be promoted in rural areas of district. This point gets further validation from the fact that 30 per cent of

the total households are landless in rural areas of the district and landless Muslim households are more than 45 per cent among their total households.

- 7. Apart from lower land base of Muslim households, value of livestock and other productive assets owned by them is also relatively lower than the Hindu households.
- 8. The Muslim household has been least benefited under the Indira Awas Yojana and condition of their houses is also poor as compared to households of Hindus. Therefore more beneficiaries under the Indira Awas Yojana should be drawn up from the Muslims.
- 9. In general a very low proportion of rural households have electricity. Muslim households are marginally better as compared to their Hindu counterparts.
- 10. The facility of protected drinking water is available to most of the households across all religious groups. However, In-house toilet facility is available to only 31 per cent households. Muslim households are better in this regard as 50 per cent of their households have in-house toilet facility in comparison with 21 per cent households of Hindus. Thus, the coverage of Total Sanitation Campaign is to be speeded up in the district.
- 11. Wood and Cow-dung cake are two major sources of cooking fuel in rural areas of the district across different religious groups. In view of very low area under forest in the district, alternative sources of cooking fuel are to be searched for.
- 12. Indebtedness is highest among the rural households. Around 85 per cent households are reported to have average outstanding loan of Rs. 15000.
- 13. It has come out that 12 per cent of the total children in age group of 5-15 years were never enrolled in the schools. The rate of dropout among the Muslim children goes on increasing as their standard of class increases. This is a crucial issue which needs to be looked into seriously.
- 14. A high proportion of Hindu parents aspire for higher education of their children, opposite is the case with the Muslim parents. Therefore awareness about the value of education needs to be spread among the rural Muslim masses of the district.
- 15. Hindu and Muslim households have largely expressed their interest in taking further training in various local based non-farm activities. Most of them feel that the duration of training should be 3 to 6 months and more than half of them are ready to bear 100 per cent of theirs training expenses.
- 16. The ailment of the diseases is done at the private hospitals in majority of cases; irrespective of religion. Jhola chhap doctors are also playing important role in medicare

of the people. Therefore, state sponsored health care services need to be strengthened in rural areas of the district.

- 17. It has been found that a high proportion of total children are being immunized in the district. However, there is gap in the immunization between Muslim and Hindu children. This is needed to be taken care of. The maternity and child services are also poorly availed to the rural population of the district in general and to Muslims in particular. This necessitates the extension of women and child related medical services in rural part of the district.
- 18. The Public Distribution System (PDS) of the district is in disarray. Non-availability of PDS items in time and unavailability of sufficient quantity are the two major factors, which are making the PDS system ineffective. Thus, the present model of the PDS needs to be revamped completely.
- 19. The most important fact which is to be highlighted here is that the rural part of Badaun District has been free from communal violence so far.
- 20. The media and communication accessibility has been found to be generally poor in the rural areas of Badaun district. Its impact can be seen on the awareness level of people about the various development Programmes of the government. The Indira Awas Yojana, Old Age/Widow Pension Scheme and Total Sanitation Campaign are well known Programmes to large number of rural people in the district. The rural people of the district have little knowledge about other development Programmes. This is more in case of Muslim population.
- 21. Most of the people in rural areas of the district has recognized the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, Indira Awas Yojana and Old Age/Widow Pension Scheme as the three most useful government Programmes for the rural people of Badaun district..

| Asset                                    | Hindu    | Muslim   | Christian | Sikh     | Others | All        |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|----------|--------|------------|
| Plough                                   | 290.68   | 330      | 0         | 0        | 300    | 297.1      |
| Bullock cart                             | 1221.68  | 420.75   | 0         | 0        | 0      | 962.78     |
| Tractor                                  | 5744.34  | 7924.53  | 0         | 28333.33 | 0      | 6655.56    |
| Power Tiller                             | 161.81   | 0        | 0         | 750      | 0      | 121.11     |
| Threshing Machine                        | 381.55   | 169.81   | 0         | 3916.67  | 0      | 364.22     |
| Pump Set                                 | 1401.62  | 938.49   | 0         | 10125    | 0      | 1373.78    |
| Sprayer                                  | 108.74   | 122.64   | 0         | 0        | 0      | 110.78     |
| Fodder Cutter                            | 1313.43  | 780.75   | 0         | 1937.5   | 1000   | 1158.72    |
| Others                                   | 1126.15  | 597.7    | 1050      | 250      | 0      | 957.28     |
| Total Agricultural Implements            | 7261501  | 2990442  | 4203      | 1        | 1306   |            |
| Floor /Rice Mills                        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 0          |
| Oil Crusher                              | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 0          |
| Sugarcane Crusher                        | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 0          |
| Handloom                                 | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 0          |
| Power loom                               | 27.51    | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 18.89      |
| Welding Machine                          | 12.94    | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 8.89       |
| Electric and Electronic repair           |          |          |           |          | -      |            |
| equipment                                | 15.86    | 0        | 0         | 266.67   | 0      | 14.44      |
| Motor repair                             | 11.89    | 16.04    | 250       | 133.33   | 0      | 15.78      |
| Sewing machine                           | 275.08   | 335.85   | 300       | 1425     | 0      | 308.11     |
| Others                                   | 261.28   | 101.28   | 0         | 0        | 0      | 209.23     |
| Total Non-agricultural machinery & e     |          |          | 2200      | 21900    |        |            |
| Jeep                                     | 614.89   | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 422.22     |
| Truck                                    | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 0          |
| Bus                                      | 0        | 0        | 0         | 0        | 0      | 0          |
| Car                                      | 364.08   | 452.83   | 0         | 0        | 0      | 383.33     |
| Motor Cycle                              | 3702.27  | 1758.49  | 16250     | 23583.33 | 0      | 3446.67    |
| Scooter                                  | 169.26   | 66.42    | 0         | 1666.67  | 0      | 158        |
| Cycle                                    | 1111.42  | 919.85   | 850       | 1295.83  | 0      | 1055.08    |
| Other                                    |          |          |           |          |        |            |
| Transport                                | 3684460  | 847360   | 68400     | 318550   | ) 0    | 491877     |
| Electric Fan                             | 106.15   | 107.36   | 2250      | 1620.83  | 0      | 136.11     |
| Cooler                                   | 100.81   | 52.45    | 0         | 375      | 0      | 89.67      |
| T.V.                                     | 586.73   | 446.98   | 1750      | 4112.5   | 0      | 597.11     |
| Refrigerator                             | 137.38   | 52.83    | 0         | 2733.33  | 0      | 146.33     |
| CD Player                                | 90.94    | 91.32    | 0         | 1583.33  | 0      | 110.44     |
| Gas Stove (LPG)                          | 135.92   | 77.36    | 1300      | 708.33   | 0      | 131.33     |
| Phone                                    | 28.16    | 21.51    | 0         | 833.33   | 0      | 36.78      |
| Mobile                                   | 525.57   | 410.75   | 2250      | 2616.67  | 1600   | 528.5      |
| Furniture                                | 1540.49  | 1250.91  | 550       | 4250     | 0      | 1485.23    |
| Others                                   | 826.59   | 639.14   | 2650      | 3779.17  | 0      | 817.95     |
| Total Modern household Assets            | 2520655  | 834913   | 43000     | 271350   | 1600   | 3671518    |
| Savings in Bank/ Post Office/            | 2020000  | 031713   | 15000     | 2/1330   | 1000   | 56/1510    |
| Fixed Deposits                           | 3900.92  | 2967.55  | 10000     | 13312.5  | 50000  | 3829.91    |
| Gold Silver Ornaments                    | 7860.61  | 4244.91  | 1750      | 16025    | 1000   | 6870.07    |
| Others                                   | 1862.94  | 2443.03  | 8500      | 10025    | 0      | 2050       |
| Total Financial Assets                   | 8419928  | 2558702  | 81000     | 364350   | 51000  | 11474980   |
| Gross Value of productive and            | 0+17720  | 2550702  | 51000     | 507550   | 51000  | 117/7/00   |
| other assets                             | 22260164 | 7351507  | 198803    | 1519904  | 53906  | 31384268   |
| Per household value of Productive        | 2220104  | ,551507  | 170005    | 1317704  | 55700  | 51504200   |
| and other assets                         | 36019.68 | 27741.54 | 49700.75  | 126658.7 | 53906  | 34871.41   |
| Note: Figures in brackets indicate perce |          | 27771.JT | 12700.13  | 120050.7 | 55700  | 5 10/ 1.41 |

Annexure-I: Value of Productive and Other Assets per Household

Note: Figures in brackets indicate percentages. Source: Primary data based.

| Tehshil     | Block         | Village              |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 1. Badaun   | 1. Jagat      | 1. Sobhanpur         |
|             | 2. Kadarchauk | 1. Asharari          |
|             | 3. Ujhani     | 1. Jyora parvala     |
|             |               | 2. Manakpur          |
|             |               | 3. Alla Purbhogi     |
| 2. Bilsi    | 1. Islamnagar | 1. Maikhurd          |
|             | 2. Bisauli    | 1. Palia             |
|             | 3. Ambiapur   | 1. Sirtaul           |
|             |               | 2. Raipur Majara     |
| 3. Sahaswan | 1. Dahgawan   | 1. Bajpur            |
|             |               | 2. Bisaulia          |
|             |               | 3. Antar             |
|             |               | 4. Nadha             |
|             |               | 5. Sakatpur          |
| 4. Dataganj | 1. Samrer     | 1. Semariya          |
|             |               | 2. Sehra Pukhta      |
|             | 2. Dataganj   | 1. Ismaipur          |
|             | 3. Miyaun     | 1. Roopamai          |
|             | 4. Osawan     | 1. Gariya Hardopatti |
|             |               | 2. Mirzapur Atiraj   |
| 5. Bisauli  | 1. Bisauli    | 1. Piwari            |
|             | 2. Asafpur    | 1. Dabtora           |
|             | 3. Islamnagar | 1. Sakhamai          |
|             | 4. Wazirganj  | 1. Serandajpur       |
|             |               | 2. Udaipur           |
| 6. Gunnaur  | 1. Gunnaur    | 1. Sainjor           |
|             | 2. Junamai    | 1. Baghoi            |
|             |               | 2. Patai kyasalh     |
|             | 3. Rajpura    | 1. Sailma            |
|             |               | 2. BahatKaran        |

Annexure II: Sample Villages of Badaun District

# ABBREVIATIONS

| ANM    | Auxiliary Nurse Midwife                             |
|--------|---|
| ARWSP  | Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme            |
| BHQ    | Block Head Quarter                                  |
| BPL    | Below Poverty Line                                  |
| CDO    | Chief Development Officer                           |
| CDR    | Credit Deposit Ratio                                |
| DRDA   | District Rural Development Authority                |
| IAY    | Indira Awas Yojana                                  |
| ITI    | Industrial Training Institute                       |
| MCDs   | Minority Concentrated Districts                     |
| MsDP   | Multi-sector District Development Plan              |
| NABARD | National Bank For Agriculture And Rural Development |
| NAREGA | National Rural Employment Guaranty Act/Scheme       |
| NFHS   | National Family And Health Survey                   |
| NSSO   | National Sample Survey Organization                 |
| PDS    | Public Distribution System                          |
| PHCs   | Primary Health Centers                              |
| PMGSY  | Pradhan Mantri Gramin Sadak Yojana                  |
| SGSY   | Swrnjayanti Gramin Swarojgar Yojana                 |
| SHGs   | Self-help Groups                                    |
| SSA    | Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan                               |
| TLC    | Total Literacy Campaign                             |